

By Speed Post

F. No.14/3/2006-SR(S) Vol.-V
Government of India
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions
Department of Personnel & Training

3rd Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan,
Khan Market, New Delhi-110 003.

Date: 19.11.2014

To

✓ The Principal Secretary
Department of General Administration
Government of Madhya Pradesh
Vallabh Shawa, Bhopal,
Madhya Pradesh - 462 004.

The Secretary,
Department of General Administration
Government of Chhattisgarh
Mantralaya, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh-492 001.

Subject: Minutes of the 25th meeting of the Advisory Committee, Madhya Pradesh held on 29.10.2014 at Madhyanchal Bhavan, New Delhi-regarding.

Sir,

I am directed to forward, herewith the minutes of the 25th meeting of the Advisory Committee, Madhya Pradesh held on 29.10.2014 at Madhyanchal Bhavan, New Delhi for consideration of the representations of State Government employees in compliance with the directions given by the Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh/Chhattisgarh and other representations of employees under SC/ST category, spouse policy etc.

2. Kindly acknowledge receipt of the minutes. The orders for revision of allocation and rejection of representations would be issued separately by the Government of India.

Encls.: As above


Yours faithfully,


(A.K. Malhotra)

Under Secretary to the Government of India

Tel.- 24651898

e-mail: malhotra.ak13@nic.in

मंत्रालय और विभागों के लिए, लोक नयक भवन Dept. of Personnel & Training, Lok Nayak Bhawan प्रारिप और प्रेषण अनुभाग Receipt & Issued Section
19 NOV 2014 
जारी किया/ISSUED

**Minutes of the 25th Meeting of Advisory Committee, Madhya Pradesh held on
29th October, 2014 at Madhyanchal Bhawan, New Delhi.**

The 25th meeting of Advisory Committee, Madhya Pradesh was convened at 11:30 AM on 29.10.2014 at Madhyanchal Bhawan, New Delhi. The Chairperson of the Committee, Ms. Archana Varma, Joint Secretary (AT&A), DOPT, Govt. of India could not attend the meeting due to some exigencies and she directed Ms. K. Kipgen, Director (SR) to chair the Committee on her behalf. Accordingly, the Committee was chaired by Ms. K. Kipgen, Director (SR) to consider the representations of the petitioners/employees of erstwhile State of Madhya Pradesh. The list of attendees is enclosed at **Annexure-A**.

2. Shri R. K. Chaturvedi, Resident Commissioner, M.P. Bhawan, Govt. of M.P. on behalf of Principal Secretary, Department of General Administration, Govt. of M.P. welcomed the Members and other attendees in the meeting and thereafter took up the agenda of the meeting for discussion. At the outset, the Committee observed that although final allocation was made 12 years ago, yet representations for revision of State allocation under medical hardships, spouse policy etc. are still being received from the employees of erstwhile State of M.P. It was observed that the bifurcation of the States took place 14 years ago and in this regard the Committee accordingly instructed both the State Governments of M.P. and Chhattisgarh to provide all the details of deferred cases and also advised the State Governments to draw a deadline for submission of new representations.

3. The Committee took a serious view in respect of cases which have been repeatedly deferred for want of complete or specific information. The Committee desired that all such cases should be re-examined by both the State Governments of M.P. and Chhattisgarh with their mutual consent so that an amicable decision could be arrived at. A detailed proposal in this regard was desired and be submitted within one month from the date of circulation of minutes.

4. The Committee also observed that about 200 cases are pending in High Courts of Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh and their updated status is not available with the Central Government. A list of such 32 cases (**Annexure-B**) was placed before the Committee by DOPT which was later handed over to the Department of General Administration, Government of M.P. for taking up the matter with concerned Departments. It was brought to the notice of the Committee that in spite of several reminders, the concerned Departments have not yet furnished their comments/information to the Central Government. The Committee desired that:



- (i) Updated status of all the cases pending in the High Courts will be furnished to the Central Government by the concerned Departments within one month from the circulation of the minutes.
- (ii) Compliance report/information in respect of above referred 32 cases will be furnished to the Central Government within one month.
- (iii) Concerned Departments will instruct the State Governments Counsels handling these cases to get all the pending cases mentioned before the Courts and take necessary steps for expediting their disposal.

5. As regards representations for revision of State allocation, the Committee considered 53 cases in total. Details are given below: -

Total No. of cases considered	No. of cases recommended for acceptance	No. of cases recommended for rejection	No. of cases recommended to drop	No. of cases recommended for deferring
53	11	3	13	26

6. Recommendations of the Committee in each individual case are reflected accordingly in the following table: -

Srl. No.	Name, Designation & W.P./Writ Appeal No.	Recommendations of the Committee
1.	Shri Ram Lal Singh Kushwaha, SADO, D/o Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development, (W P No. 1165/06)	<p>In compliance of directions dated 03.10.2007 of Hon'ble High Court of M.P. in W. P. No. 1165/2006, the representation of Shri Ram Lal Singh Kushwaha, SADO was considered by the Advisory Committee.</p> <p>Shri Kushwaha has protested against his allocation in general category whereas he actually belongs to OBC category. He has requested for re-allocation to Madhya Pradesh under OBC category.</p> <p>The Committee noted that while disposing of W.P. No. 1165/2006 on 03.10.2007, the Hon'ble High Court directed that:</p>

		<p><i>“the petitioner belongs to OBC category hence he was entitled to be placed in the list of OBC category employees not in general category. The reason put forth by respondents that because petitioner was appointed in the year 1983 hence he was not placed in the list of OBC category candidates is illegal, because when the petitioner belongs to OBC category by caste, he is entitled to get the benefit of OBC category. Hence the allocation of the petitioner is illegal. Consequently, the Hon’ble Court allowed the petition and quashed the impugned orders dated 11.09.2002 (allocation order to Chhattisgarh) and 10.01.2006 with regard to the petitioner.”</i></p> <p>Since the concerned State Government has not preferred an appeal against the M.P. High Court’s order dated 03.10.2007 and it time barred now, the Committee recommended compliance of the Court’s order while observing that allocation on the basis of OBC status is not permissible under the guidelines. It recommended allocation of Shri Ram Lal Singh Kushwaha, SADO to Madhya Pradesh as an exceptional case and not to be quoted as precedent.</p>
2.	Shri L. S. Rajput, RAEO, D/o Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development (WA No. 18/2013)	<p>In compliance of directions dated 06.03.2014 of Hon’ble High court of M.P., Bench at Gwalior in W. A. No. 18/2013, the old representation of Shri Rajput was considered by the Committee.</p> <p>Shri Rajput contended that his juniors were allocated to Madhya Pradesh. He has claimed for his allocation to M.P. in OBC category instead of general category.</p> <p>The Administrative Department has clarified that his juniors Shri B K Sharma, Shri Ajay Aggarwal, Shri R K Jain and Shri Mohabat Singh were allocated to Madhya Pradesh under mutual transfer policy. Besides, he is not</p>



		<p>eligible for allocation in OBC category because he was appointed before 17.12.1993. He was allocated to Chhattisgarh against his option in A4 category (juniority basis).</p> <p>In the light of above facts, the Committee recommended rejection of representation of Shri L. S. Rajput, RAE0. A speaking order shall be issued accordingly.</p>
3.	Shri Watan Jadhav, RAE0, D/o Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development (Representation)	<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Jadhav and Shri Kumhar informed that they are Non-State Cadre employees. Non-State Cadre employees stood allocated to the State where they were working as on the appointed day i.e. 01.11.2000. The Committee noted that no separate orders for allocation of such Non-State cadre employee were issued. Therefore, consideration of allocation of Non-State Cadre employee does not fall within the purview of Advisory Committee. As such, the Committee did not consider their representations and the same were dropped from the agenda.</p>
4.	Shri Sumran Lal Kumhar, RAE0, D/o Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development (Representation)	
5.	Shri Atar Singh Narbariya, RAE0, D/o Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development (Representation)	<p>Shri Narbhariya has represented that he belongs to OBC category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P. but allocated to Chhattisgarh. He is facing financial problem and has to take care of his old age mother.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Shri Narbhariya informed that he has been working in the State of Chhattisgarh since 24.10.2002 after being relieved from State of M.P. Since then he had never submitted any representation for his allocation to Madhya Pradesh. He was allocated to Chhattisgarh under A4 category (juniority basis).</p> <p>In the light of above facts, the Committee recommended rejection of representation of Shri Atar Singh Narbariya, RAE0 as none of the grounds raised by him are covered under the guidelines of allocation.</p>
6.	Shri Prakash Chandra Vijay, RAE0, D/o Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development (Representation)	<p>All these employees have submitted their representations contending that they belong to SC category, are domicile of M.P. and also had opted for M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that they are Non-State Cadre employees. Non-</p>

7.	Shri Prabhu Dayal Dohare, RAEO, D/o Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development (Representation)	State Cadre employees stood allocated to the State where they were working as on the appointed day i.e. 01.11.2000.
8.	Shri Rishendra Deo Uike, RAEO, D/o Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development (Representation)	<p>The Committee noted that no separate orders for allocation of such Non-State Cadre employee were issued. Therefore, consideration of allocation of Non-State Cadre employee does not fall within the purview of Advisory Committee. As such, the Committee did not consider their representations and the same were dropped from the agenda.</p>
9.	Shri Lakshmi Prasad Ahirwar, RAEO, D/o Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development (Representation)	
10.	Shri Ganga Singh Uike, RHEO, D/o Horticulture (Representation)	
11.	Dr. Ramesh Kumar Neema, Medical Specialist, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare (W.P. No. 1836/2007)	<p>Shri Uike submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised policy for SC/ST employees.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Shri Uike confirmed that he has withdrawn his request for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. vide his representation dated 24.06.2014. In the light of above facts, the Committee recommended to drop this case from agenda.</p> <p>In compliance of directions dated 30.11.2011 of High Court of M.P. Bench at Indore, the representation of Dr. Neema was considered by the Committee. Dr. Neema has contended that 65 medical specialists junior to him are in the pay scale of Rs. 12000-16500/- but are shown in the scale of Rs. 10000-15200/- He has further contended that Dr. Ram Chandra Sharma and 23 other Specialist Doctors, junior to him who were receiving the pay scale of Rs. 12000-16500/- were allocated to M.P. The Administrative Department could not furnish specific comments on the contention of Dr. Neema. However, Administrative Department sought time to further examine the matter.</p> <p>In the light of submission of Administrative Department, the Committee desired that the position be verified and intimated to DoPT, Govt. of India within two weeks with detailed comments. Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and specific comments from Administrative</p>

		departments have not been furnished, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure.
12.	Shri Ram Kumar Jatav, Health Supervisor, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare (Representation)	<p>Shri Jatav has represented that he belongs to SC category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P. The Administrative Department informed that no representation from Shri Jatav for revision of allocation to M.P. has been received so far.</p> <p>However, Administrative Department sought time to further examine the matter. The Committee noted that a copy of representation of Shri Jatav had already been forwarded to Administrative Department by Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India.</p> <p>In the light of submission of Administrative Department, the Committee desired that the position be verified and intimated to DoPT, Govt. of India within two weeks with detailed comments. Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and comments from Administrative Departments have not been furnished, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure.</p>
13.	Dr. Pramod Kumar Dubey, Medical Officer, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare (W.P. No. 2398/2007)	<p>These cases have been deferred since 21st meeting of the Committee i.e. 01.10.2012. The Administrative Department had submitted that appeals are being preferred in all these cases challenging the order of Hon'ble High Court of M.P. whereby the allocation order/ relieving order were quashed.</p> <p>Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and appeals by Administrative Departments have not been preferred for last 4-5 years before the Hon'ble High Court, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure. In the light of above position, the Committee desired that legal opinion may be sought within two weeks and appeals be preferred accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p>
14.	Dr. Ashok Kumar Dixit, Medical Specialist, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare (W.P. No. 4996/2006)	
15.	Dr. Krishna Kumar Bhargav, Child Specialist, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare (W.P. No. 1086/2005)	

		<p>The Committee further desired that both the State Governments should arrive at an amicable decision in all such cases. Department of Public Health & Family Welfare, Government of M.P. was instructed to prepare a proposal after seeking legal opinion from the Department of Legal Affairs in this regard in consultation with Department of Public Health & Family Welfare, Government of Chhattisgarh. The proposal would be submitted to the Central Government through Department of General Administration, Government of M.P. The Committee also desired that this exercise would be made within one month from the date of circulation of minutes.</p>
16.	Dr. Kailash Chandra Mahajan, AMO, D/o AYUSH (W. P. No. 6775/2006)	<p>These cases have been deferred since 15th meeting of the Committee i.e. 29.12.2010 for want of specific information from the concerned Department. All these cases were placed before the Committee in compliance of Court's directions dated 15.11.2011, 28.0.20012, 28.04.2008, 01.02.2006, 04.02.2008 and 15.12.2010 respectively. The Administrative Department informed that there is shortage of AMOs in State of Madhya Pradesh. Therefore, it is of view to retain all these AMOs in the State of Madhya Pradesh despite their allocation to Chhattisgarh. It was further informed that most of them are going to retire within one or two years.</p> <p>Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and specific comments by Administrative Departments have not yet been furnished, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure.</p> <p>In the light of above position, the Committee desired that both the State Governments should arrive at an amicable decision in all these cases. Department of AYUSH, Government of M.P. was instructed to prepare a proposal after seeking legal opinion of Department of Legal Affairs, in this regard in consultation with Department of AYUSH, Government of Chhattisgarh.</p>
17.	Dr. Kunwar Pal Singh, AMO, D/o AYUSH (W. P. No. 6774/2006)	
18.	Dr. Rajesh Joshi, AMO, D/o AYUSH (W. P. No. 3065/2006)	
19.	Dr. Vishambhar Dayal Chaturvedi, AMO, D/o AYUSH (W. P. No. 3905/2007)	
20.	Dr. Devendra Pratap Singh Sengar, AMO, D/o AYUSH (W. P. No. 3793/2008)	
21.	Dr. Kedar Nath Mishra, AMO, D/o AYUSH (W. P. No. 1037/2006)	
22.	Dr. Vishnu Dutt Mishra, AMO, D/o AYUSH (W. P. No. 549/2006)	

		The proposal would be submitted to the Central Government through Department of General Administration, Government of M.P and will be decided by the Committee by the circulation. The Committee also desired that this exercise would be made within one month from the date of circulation of minutes.
23.	Dr. Yagya Purna Pathak, AMO, D/o AYUSH (W. P. No. 11473/2007)	The Administrative Department confirmed that Dr. Pathak retired on 31.07.2012 on superannuation. In the light of above facts, the Committee recommended to drop this case from the agenda.
24.	Dr. Dinesh Kumar Shukla, AMO, D/o AYUSH (W. P. No. 5381/2005)	The Administrative Department informed that Dr. Shukla retired on 31.12.2013 on superannuation. In the light of above facts, the Committee recommended to drop this case from the agenda.
25.	Shri Bijendra Kumar Sharma, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Works, (W. P. No. 1496/2005)	In compliance of directions dated 19.06.2013 of Hon'ble High Court of M.P., Bench at Gwalior, the representation of Shri Sharma was considered by the Committee. Shri Sharma has contended that his junior Shri Manoj Jain was allocated to M.P. and his wife Smt. Vijay Lata Sharma is working as Shiksha Karmi Verg I in Government Higher Secondary School, Bhonti, Shivpuri, M.P. The Administrative Department informed that his wife namely Smt. Vijay Lata Sharma is working as 'Sanvida Karmi'. She is not a permanent government employee. With regard to allocation of his junior Shri Manoj Jain to M.P., they sought time to further examine the matter. In the light of above facts, the Committee desired that the position be verified and intimated within two weeks to the Central Government with detailed comments. Based on the information the matter will be considered by circulation to all Advisory Committee Members.
26.	Shri Sharad Kumar Cholkar, Sub- Engineer, D/o Public Works (Representation)	The Administrative Department of Shri Cholkar and Shri Prajapati confirmed that they belong to SC category, are domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P. In the light of the above facts, the

27.	Shri Prakash Narayan Prajapati, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Works (Representation)	Committee considered their representations and recommended revision of allocation of Shri Sharad Kumar Cholkar, Sub-Engineer and Shri Prakash Narayan Prajapati, Sub-Engineer from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised guidelines dated 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees as they belong to SC category and are domicile/optee of M.P.
28.	Shri Anand Kumar Mishra, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Works (W. P. No. 1489/2005)	These cases have been deferred since 23 rd meeting of the Committee i.e. 20.09.2013 for want of information from the concerned Department. The Administrative Department had submitted that appeals are being preferred in these cases challenging the order of Hon'ble High Court of M.P. whereby allocation orders were quashed.
29.	Shri Rajveer Singh Bhadoriya, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Works (W. P. No. 396/2006)	<p>The Administrative Department sought time again to re-examine the cases and also for seeking legal opinion of State Government Counsel/Department of Legal Affairs. Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and appeals by Administrative Department have not yet been preferred before the Hon'ble High Court, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure.</p> <p>In the light of above position, the Committee desired that legal opinion may be sought within two weeks and appeals be preferred accordingly. In the event that appeal can not be filed due to time bar, the Committee further suggested that both the State Governments should arrive at an amicable decision in all such cases. Department of Public Works, Government of M.P. was instructed to prepare a proposal after seeking legal opinion of Department of Legal Affairs in this regard in consultation with Department of Public Works, Government of Chhattisgarh. The proposal would be submitted to the Central Government through Department of General Administration, Government of M.P. and will be considered by the Committee, accordingly. The Committee also desired</p>

		that this exercise would be made within one month from the date of circulation of minutes.
30	Shri Narmada Prasad Shilpi, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Health Engineering (Representation)	<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Shilpi confirmed that he belongs to SC category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P.</p> <p>In the light of the above facts, the Committee considered his representation and recommended revision of allocation of Shri Narmada Prasad Shilpi from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised guidelines dated 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees as he belongs to SC category and is domicile/optee of M.P. The Committee further recommended correction of name of Shri Narmada Prasad Shilpi in place of Shri Narendra Prasad Shilpi in final allocation order issued by the Central Government.</p>
31.	Shri Mohan Sharan Khare, Assistant Engineer, D/o Public Health Engineering (W. A. No. 225/2012)	<p>In compliance of directions dated 04.05.2012 of Hon'ble High Court of M.P., Bench at Gwalior, the representation of Shri Khare was considered by the Committee. Shri Khare has contended that his wife Smt. Rashmi Khare is working as Assistant grade II in office of Sahayak Bhu Jalwid, Bhind, M.P. He has also contended that he was receiving the pay scale of Rs. 6500-9100/- but was allocated to Chhattisgarh in pay scale of Rs. 8000-13500/- which was wrong.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that his wife namely Smt. Rashmi Khare has taken Voluntary Retirement.</p> <p>The Committee observed that the Hon'ble High Court in its order dated 04.05.2012 directed that the matter be remitted to Union of India for consideration of allocation of Shri Khare on merit and taking into account the order dated 24.09.2009 passed by the State of M.P., granting retrospective proforma promotion to the petitioner/respondent herein from the cadre of Sub-Engineer to Assistant Engineer w.e.f. 04.12.1989.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed</p>

		<p>that in compliance of above directions of the Court, the proforma promotion of Shri Khare from the cadre of Sub-Engineer to Assistant Engineer was considered and his seniority has been fixed below Shri TC Panchal, Assistant Engineer (Sr. No. 10) and above Shri HN Vaidya, Assistant Engineer (Sr. No. 11). They further clarified that his juniors namely Shri HN Vaidya, Shri RB Rai, Shri DK Bhavsar, Shri AR Pawar, Shri SH Khan, Shri Rajesh Joshi and Shri Sudhir Deshmukh were allocated to M.P. as per their option in General category.</p> <p>In the light of above facts, the Committee recommended allocation of Shri Mohan Sharan Khare, Assistant Engineer to Madhya Pradesh and deletion of his name from allocation order of Sub-Engineers. Fresh allocation order would be issued accordingly by the Central Government after obtaining approval of the competent authority.</p>
32.	Shri Bantalu Ram Bhagat, Platoon Commander, D/o Home, (Representation)	<p>Shri Bhagat submitted a representation for revision of his allocation from M.P. to Chhattisgarh. He is domicile and optee of Chhattisgarh. The Administrative Department, Government of Chhattisgarh has given its no objection to his re-allocation to Chhattisgarh. Government of M.P. has also given its no objection and consent on revision of allocation of Shri Bhagat from M.P. to Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>In the light of above, the Committee recommended revision of allocation of Shri Bantalu Ram Bhagat, Platoon Commander from M.P. to Chhattisgarh.</p>
33.	Shri Thakur Prasad Markam, ASI, D/o Home (Representation)	<p>Shri Markam represented that he belongs to ST category and is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Shri Markam confirmed that he is Non-State Cadre employee. Non-State Cadre employees stood allocated to the State where they were working as on the appointed day i.e. 01.11.2000. The Committee noted that no separate orders for allocation of such non-State cadre employees were issued. Therefore, consideration of</p>

		<p>allocation of non-State cadre employee does not fall within the purview of Advisory Committee. As such, the Committee did not consider his representation and the same was dropped from the agenda.</p>
34.	Shri Umesh Varma, Constable, D/o Home, (Representation)	<p>Shri Varma represented that he belongs to SC category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P. The Administrative department informed that no representation from Shri Varma for revision of allocation to M.P. has been received so far.</p> <p>However, Administrative Department sought time to further examine the matter. The Committee noted that a copy of representation of Shri Varma had already been forwarded to Administrative Department by DoPT. Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and comments from Administrative Department have not been furnished, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure.</p> <p>In the light of above position, the Committee desired that the position be verified and intimated within two weeks to the Central Government with detailed comments. Based on the report, approval of the Committee will be obtained by circulation of minutes.</p>
35.	Shri Bharat Notiya, Sub-Inspector, D/o Home, (Representation)	<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Notiya and Shri Dhurve confirmed that they belong to SC and ST category respectively, are domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P. In the light of the above facts, the Committee considered their representations and recommended revision of allocation of Shri Bharat Notiya and Shri Girish Kumar Dhurve from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised guidelines dated 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees as they belong to SC/ST category and are domicile/optee of M.P.</p>
36.	Shri Girish Kumar Dhurve, Sub-Inspector, D/o Home (Representation)	
37.	Shri Gehru Singh Dhurve, Constable, D/o Home (Representation)	<p>Shri Dhurve has represented that he belongs to ST category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P. The Administrative department informed that no representation from Shri Dhurve for revision of allocation to M.P. has</p>

		<p>been received so far.</p> <p>However, Administrative Department sought time to further examine the matter. The Committee noted that a copy of representation of Shri Dhurve had already been forwarded to Administrative Department by DoPT, Govt. of India. Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and comments from Administrative Department have not been furnished, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure.</p> <p>In the light of above position, the Committee desired that the position be verified and intimated within two weeks to the Central Government with detailed comments. Based on the report approval of the Committee will be obtained by circulation of minutes.</p>
38.	Shri Dal Chand Jatav, Constable (Radio), D/o Home, (Representation)	<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Jatav confirmed that he belongs to SC category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P. In the light of the above facts, the Committee considered his representation and recommended revision of allocation of Shri Dal Chand Jatav, Constable (Radio) from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised guidelines dated 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees as he belongs to SC category and is domicile/optee of M.P.</p>
39.	Shri Francis Lakra, Constable, D/o Home (Representation)	<p>The Committee considered the representation of Shri Lakra for revision of his allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Lakra was allocated to State of Chhattisgarh as per his option and he is domicile of State of Chhattisgarh. In the light of above facts the Committee recommended rejection of representation of Shri Francis Lakra.</p>
40.	Shri Gulab Singh Gahlot, Head Constable, D/o Home (Representation)	<p>Shri Gahlot has represented that he belongs to ST category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P. The Administrative department informed that no representation from Shri Gahlot for revision of allocation to M.P. has been received so far.</p>

		<p>However, Administrative Department sought time to further examine the matter. The Committee noted that a copy of representation of Shri Gahlot had already been forwarded to Administrative Department by DoPT. Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and comments from Administrative Department have not been furnished, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure.</p> <p>In the light of above position, the Committee desired that the position be verified and intimated within two weeks to the Central Government with detailed comments. Based on the report approval of the Committee will be obtained by circulation of minutes.</p>
41.	Shri Anil Kumar Sonare, Asstt. Grade-III/Steno Typist D/o Animal Husbandry (Veterinary Services) (Representation)	<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Sonare submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to Madhya Pradesh under revised guidelines for SC/ST employees.</p> <p>Shri Sonare belongs to SC category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for Chhattisgarh. On confirmation of domicile of Shri Sonare i.e. Madhya Pradesh by Administrative Department, the Committee recommended revision of allocation of Shri Sonare from Chhattisgarh to M.P.</p> <p>However, during drafting the minutes of the meeting, Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India was in receipt of representation dated 31.10.2014 submitted by Shri Sonare. Shri Sonare has requested for withdrawal of his earlier representation for his re-allocation to Madhya Pradesh.</p> <p>Accordingly, a reference is being made to the State Government for confirmation.</p>
42.	Shri Ashok Kumar Kurve, Asstt. Veterinary Field Officer, D/o Animal Husbandry (Veterinary Services) (Representation)	<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Kurve confirmed that he belongs to ST category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for Chhattisgarh. In the light of the above facts, the Committee considered his representation and recommended revision of allocation of Shri Ashok Kumar Kurve from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised</p>



		guidelines dated 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees as he belongs to SC category and is domicile of M.P.
43.	Shri Raghuveer Singh Bhadoriya, Asstt. Veterinary Surgeon, D/o Animal Husbandry (Veterinary Services) (W.A. No. 386/2007)	<p>In compliance of directions dated 19.03.2014 of Hon'ble High Court of M.P., Bench at Gwalior, the representation of Shri Bhadoriya was considered by the Committee. Shri Bhadoriya has contended that his junior Shri K K Sharma and Shri Hemant Kumar Pathak were allocated to M.P. and some juniors were not given the benefit of ACP and allocated to M.P. in lower pay scale.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri K K Sharma and Shri Hemant Kumar Pathak were allocated to M.P. on mutual transfer basis. They have further clarified that nine junior Assistant Veterinary Surgeons were given the benefits of ACP after completion of twelve years i.e. after 23.09.2000.</p> <p>The Committee desired that allocation of his juniors to M.P. in lower pay scale may be verified. Name of all juniors to Shri Bhadoriya along with date of their joining of service and order of grant of ACP be furnished by Administrative Department to the Central Government within two weeks. Based on the report approval of the Committee will be obtained by circulation of minutes.</p>
44.	Shri Lakhan Lal Patel, Peon, D/o Revenue (Land Records) (W. P. No. 1145/2009)	<p>In compliance of directions dated 18.11.2009 of Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh, Shri Patel and Shri Khan submitted their representations for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. They contended that they are class IV employee and opted for M.P.</p> <p>The Committee observed that no representative of Department of Revenue, M.P. as well as Chhattisgarh has attended the meeting. Moreover, their comments have also not been received so far despite repeated deferment of the matter.</p> <p>However, Department of General Administration, Government of M.P. sought time to further examine the matter. The</p>
45.	Shri Sharif Khan, Peon, D/o Revenue (Land Records) (W. P. No. 1145/2009)	<p>The Committee observed that no representative of Department of Revenue, M.P. as well as Chhattisgarh has attended the meeting. Moreover, their comments have also not been received so far despite repeated deferment of the matter.</p> <p>However, Department of General Administration, Government of M.P. sought time to further examine the matter. The</p>

		<p>Committee noted that a copy of representation of Shri Patel and Shri Khan had already been forwarded to Administrative Department by DoPT. Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and comments from Administrative Departments have not been furnished, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure. In the light of above position, the Committee desired that the position be verified and intimated within two weeks to the Central Government with detailed comments. Based on the report approval of the Committee will be obtained by circulation of minutes.</p>
46.	<p>Shri Trilok Chand Gupta, Panchayat and Social Education Organizer, D/o Panchayat and Social Justice (W.P. No. 769/2005)</p>	<p>In compliance of directions dated 05.05.2011 of Hon'ble High Court of M.P., this case was placed before the Committee. The Hon'ble Court vide its order dated 05.05.2011 quashed the allocation order for the State of Chhattisgarh in respect of petitioner. The Administrative Department informed that Shri Gupta is going to retire on 31.10.2014 on superannuation.</p> <p>The Committee observed that the appeal against the said order dated 05.05.2011 has become time barred. In the light of ensuing superannuation of Shri Gupta and also court's directions dated 05.05.2011, the Committee recommended revision of allocation of Shri Trilok Chand Gupta, Panchayat and Social Education Organizer from Chhattisgarh to M.P.</p>
47	<p>Shri Chandra Kishor Baghel, Dy. Director, D/o Manpower Planning (Employment & Training) (Representation)</p>	<p>The Administrative Department, Government of Chhattisgarh confirmed that Shri Baghel belongs to SC category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P.</p> <p>In the light of the above facts, the Committee considered his representation and recommended revision of allocation of Shri Chandra Kishore Baghel, Dy. Director from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised guidelines dated 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees as he belongs to SC category and is domicile/optee of Madhya Pradesh.</p>



48	Shri Rajendra Kumar Shilpkar, UDC, D/o Housing & Environment (Representation)	<p>Shri Shilpkar represented that he belongs to SC category and is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Shri Shilpkar informed that he is Semi government employee. The Committee noted that no separate orders for allocation of such semi-government employee were issued. Therefore, consideration of allocation of semi-government employee does not fall within the purview of Advisory Committee. As such, the Committee did not consider his representation and same was dropped from the agenda.</p>
49	Shri Hari Singh Karoriya, Head Master, D/o School Education (Representation)	<p>Shri Karoriya represented that he belongs to SC category and is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Shri Karoriya informed that he is Non-State Cadre employees. Non-State Cadre employees stood allocated to the State where they were working as on the appointed day i.e. 01.11.2000. The Committee noted that no separate orders for allocation of such Non-State Cadre employee were issued. Therefore, consideration of allocation of Non-State Cadre employee does not fall within the purview of Advisory Committee. As such, the Committee did not consider his representation and same was dropped from the agenda.</p>
50	Shri Sanjay Kumar Godale, Principal, D/o Tribal Welfare (Representation)	<p>Shri Godale submitted his representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised policy dated 24.06.2010 for SC/ST employee. He belongs to SC category and is domicile/optee of M.P.</p> <p>Department of General Administration informed that Shri Godale is not an employee of Department of Higher Education as per their records. They further informed that he appears to be an employee of Department of Tribal Welfare.</p> <p>In the light of above position, the Committee desired that the position be verified and intimated within two weeks to the Central Government with detailed comments. Based on the report approval of</p>

		the Committee will be obtained by circulation of minutes.
51	Shri T. S. Chakradhari, Sub-Engineer, D/o Water Resources (Representation)	<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Chakradhari confirmed that he belongs to SC category, is domicile of Chhattisgarh and had opted for Chhattisgarh. However, the Committee observed that as per records figured in TFAL, domicile of Shri Chakradhary is Rewa, M.P. and his schedule caste certificate had also been issued by authority in Rewa, M.P.</p> <p>In the light of above position, the Committee desired that the date of issue and authenticity of caste certificate be verified and intimated within two weeks to the Central Government with detailed comments. Based on the report approval of the Committee will be obtained by circulation of minutes.</p>
52	Smt. Manju Shukla, Project Officer, D/o Woman & Child Development (W.P. No. 24/2009)	<p>This case was placed before the Committee for consideration of scope of preferring appeal before the Hon'ble High Court of M.P. at Jabalpur challenging the order dated 03.09.2013 whereby the allocation order of the petitioner to Chhattisgarh was quashed. The Committee observed that Smt. Manju Shukla was allocated to Chhattisgarh as per her option. In the light of above facts the Committee recommended that appeal be preferred before the Hon'ble Court by the State Government as well as Central Government.</p> <p>The Committee further recommended that a copy of writ petition filed by the petitioner along with Annexures will be furnished to the Central Government within one week by the Administrative Department. The Committee also observed that appeal against the directions dated 03.09.2013 has not yet been preferred by the State Government and it has become time barred. Therefore, legal opinion in the matter would be sought from the State Government Counsel. A report in this regard be submitted to the Central Government within one month.</p>



53	Shri Krishan Kumar Kori, Assistant Grade – III, D/o Commerce, Industry and Employment (Representation)	<p>Shri Kori has represented that he belongs to SC category, is domicile of M.P. and had opted for M.P. The Administrative department informed that no representation from Shri Kori for revision of allocation to M.P. has been received so far.</p> <p>However, Administrative Department sought time to further examine the matter. The Committee noted that a copy of representation of Shri Kori had already been forwarded to Administrative Department by DoPT, Govt. of India. Since the matter is being repeatedly deferred and comments from Administrative Departments have not been furnished, the Committee took serious note of it and expressed its displeasure. In the light of above position, the Committee desired that the position be verified and intimated within two weeks to the Central Government with detailed comments. Based on the report approval of the Committee will be obtained by circulation of minutes.</p>
----	--	---

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.



LIST OF ATTENDEES

1. Shri R. K. Chaturvedi, Resident Commissioner, M. P. Bhavan, Govt. of M.P.
2. Ms. K. Kipgen, Director (SR), DOPT, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
3. Shri Prakash Unhale, Additional Resident Commissioner, M. P. Bhavan, Govt. of M.P.
4. Shri A.K. Malhotra, Under Secretary (SRS), DOPT, Govt. of India.
5. Shri M.L. Jain, Joint Director Administration, Department of Farmers' Welfare and Agricultural Development, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
6. Shri A.K. Chouhan, Joint Director, Department of Panchayati Raj, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
7. Shri K.P. Thomas, O/o the Engineer-in-Chief, Department of Water Resources, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
8. Shri A.K. Shende, O/o the Engineer-in-Chief, Department of Water Resources, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
9. Shri R. K. Ratwaya, ALO & Nodal Officer, Govt. of Chhattisgarh.
10. Shri J.P. Kolhekar, Deputy Director, Department of Horticulture, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
11. Dr. J.P. Khare, Dy. Director, D.H.S., Health Services, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
12. Dr. S.G. Ishtique, Dy. Director, Department of AYUSH, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
13. Smt. Smriti Babulkar, Executive Engineer, Department of Public Health Engineer, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
14. Shri L.K. Dubey, SE (Admin), O/o Engineer-in-Chief, Department of Public Works, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
15. Shri R.K. Shrivastava, Dy. Director, Department of Panchayati Raj, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
16. Shri R.S. Tiwari, Dy. S.P., SAF, Department of Home, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.
17. Shri Bhoopendra Singh, Dy. S.P. Pers. PHQ, Department of Home, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal, M.P.

ANNEXURE 'B'

List of pending court cases handed over to Department of General Administration, Madhya Pradesh			
S. No.	W.P. No.	High Court	Employee Name
1	4188/2008	Chhattisgarh	Shri Puran Singh
2	4262/2010	Chhattisgarh	Shri Prashant Kumar Shukla
3	1900/2010	Chhattisgarh	Shri Madan Kumar Tantway
4	2242/2005	Gwalior	Shri GPS Bhadoria
5	2136/2006	Gwalior	Shri Ran Singh Tomar
6	3763/2010	Gwalior	Shri Rambabu Kushwaha
7	2701/2006	Gwalior	Shri A K Chaturvedi
8	5245/2013	Gwalior	Shri Naresh Kumar Mishra
9	9078/2013	Gwalior	Shri Vijay Kumar Khatri
10	4823/2013	Indore	Shri Santosh Kkumar Parmar
11	4824/2013	Indore	Shri Shyam Sunder Mahajan
12	2519/2013	Indore	Shri Umesh Chndra Bhati
13	5276/2005	Indore	Shri S K Mudgal
14	55/2014	Indore	Shri Pramod Kumar Sharma
15	6216/2008	Indore	Dr. P K Parashar
16	8299/2013	Indore	Shri Kirti Kumar Choudhary
17	10096/2012	Indore	Shri S K Ambawatia
18	6048/2013	Indore	Shri Chote Singh Tomar
19	8985/2013	Indore	Dr. Siddhnath Ambavatiya
20	12349/2010	Jabalpur	Shri Rameshwar Prasad Gora
21	7137/2011	Jabalpur	Dr. Amrit Kumar Dube
22	4173/2005	Jabalpur	Shri Om Prakash Sharma
23	6023/2005	Jabalpur	Shri A S Ansari
24	183/2007	Jabalpur	Dr. Santosh Shukla
25	5980/2008	Jabalpur	Shri Goptal Sharan Tewari
26	2690/2010	Jabalpur	Shri A K Jaiswal
27	7077/2010	Jabalpur	Shri Rajendra Prasad Gupta
28	15779/2006	Jabalpur	Shri R K Gupta
29	9466/2005	Jabalpur	Shri S K Jain
30	9292/2005	Jabalpur	Shri D P Napit
31	11128/2005	Jabalpur	Shri S K Rai
32	20248/2013	Jabalpur	Shri K N Shukla

