

By Speed Post

F. No. 14/6/2016-SR(S)
Government of India
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions
(Department of Personnel & Training)

3rd Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan,
Khan Market, New Delhi-110003,
Dated: 9th November, 2016

To

09 NOV 2016

The Principal Secretary,
Department of General
Administration,
Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan,
Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004 (M.P.).

The Secretary,
Department of General
Administration,
Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya,
New Raipur-492002 (Chhattisgarh).

Subject: -Minutes of 27th meeting of the Advisory Committee, M.P.

Sir/Madam,

I am directed to forward herewith the minutes of 27th meeting of the Advisory Committee, M.P. held on 06.10.2016 at Vallabh Bhavan, Bhopal, M.P. to consider the representations of State Government employees in compliance of Court's directions/received direct from the employees of erstwhile state of M.P. and other policy issues relating to allocation.

2. Kindly acknowledge receipt of the minutes. The orders for acceptance/ rejection of representations would be issued separately by the Central Govt.
3. The minutes can also be downloaded from the website of this Department i.e. *persmin.gov.in* → *DOPT* → *State Re-organization* → *Minutes (M.P./Chhattisgarh)*

Encls.: As above

Yours faithfully,


(S. K. Mandi)

Under Secretary to the Government of India
Tel.- 24651898
e-mail: sunil.mandi@nic.in

Copy to:-

1. The Principal Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Public Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Ayush, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
5. The Principal Secretary, Department of Public Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
6. The Principal Secretary, Department of Public Works, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
7. The Principal Secretary, Department of Public Works, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
8. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
9. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
10. The Principal Secretary, Department of Farmers' Welfare and Agriculture Development, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
11. The Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
12. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue (Land Records), Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
13. The Secretary, Department of Revenue (Land Records), Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
14. The Principal Secretary, Department of SC & ST Welfare, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
15. The Secretary, Department of SC&ST Development, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
16. The Principal Secretary, Department of Public Health Engineering, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
17. The Principal Secretary, Department of Public Health Engineering, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
18. The Principal Secretary, Department of Horticulture, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
19. The Director, Directorate of Horticulture, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Block-2, 4th Floor, Indravati Bhavan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
20. The Secretary, Department of Commercial Tax, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
21. The Special Secretary, Department of Commercial Tax, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
22. The Principal Secretary, Department of Animal Husbandry (Veterinary), Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
23. The Secretary, Department of Livestock Development, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
24. The Principal Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
25. The Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
26. The Principal Secretary, Department of Commerce, Industry and Employment, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
27. The Principal Secretary, Department of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.


28. The Secretary, Department of Commerce and Industry, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
29. The Principal Secretary, Department of Technical Education, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
30. The Secretary, Department of Technical Education, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
31. The Principal Secretary, Department of School Education, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
32. The Secretary, Department of School Education, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
33. The Principal Secretary, Department of Forest, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
34. The Principal Secretary, Department of Forest, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
35. The Principal Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Environment Development, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
36. The Special Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Dev., Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
37. The Principal Secretary, Department of Housing and Environment, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
38. The Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
39. The Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
40. The Principal Secretary, Department of Fisheries, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
41. The Secretary, Department of Fisheries, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
42. The Principal Secretary, Department of Planning, Economics and Statistics, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
43. The Commissioner-cum-Director, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Block No.4, 3rd Floor, Indrawati Bhavan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
44. The Principal Secretary, Department of Food, Civil Supply and Consumer Protection, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
45. The Controller, Weights and Measures, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal-462011
46. The Secretary, Department of Food, Civil Supply and Consumer Protection, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
47. The Principal Secretary, Department of Sports and Youth Affairs, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
48. The Secretary, Department of Sports, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
49. The Principal Secretary, Department of Law and Legal Affairs, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
50. The Secretary, Department of Law and Legislative Affairs, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
51. The Principal Secretary, Department of Cooperation, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
52. The Secretary, Department of Cooperation, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
53. The Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
54. The Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
55. The Principal Secretary, Department of Jail, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.

56. The Director General, Department of Jail, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
57. The Principal Secretary, Department of Public Relation, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
58. The Secretary, Department of Public Relation, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.
59. The Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Industries, Govt. of M.P., Vallabh Bhavan, Mantralaya, Bhopal-462004.
60. The Secretary, Department of Rural Industries, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492002.



(S. K. Mandi)

Under Secretary to the Government of India



Minutes of 27th meeting Advisory Committee, M.P. to consider the representations of the employees of erstwhile State of M.P. held on 6th October, 2016 at Bhopal, M.P.

The 27th meeting of Advisory Committee, M.P. was held on 06.10.2016 at Vallabh Bhavan, Bhopal, M.P. The Chairperson of the Committee, Smt. Archana Varma, Joint Secretary, DOP&T, Govt. of India could not attend the meeting due to some exigencies and she directed Ms. K. Kipgen, Director (SR) to chair the Committee on her behalf with the approval of Secretary (Personnel), Govt. of India. Accordingly, the Committee was chaired by Ms. K. Kipgen, Director (SR), DOP&T, Govt. of India to consider the policy matters, the representations of the petitioners/employees and court matters relating to allocation of erstwhile State of M.P. The Principal Secretary, Department of General Administration, Govt. of M.P. and the Secretary, Department of General Administration, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, the members of the Committee could not attend the meeting due to some exigencies. Both States were represented by Smt. Usha Parmar, Deputy Secretary, GAD, M.P. and Shri K. R. Mishra, Additional Secretary, GAD, Chhattisgarh. The list of attendees is enclosed at **Annexure-I**.

2. At the outset, Ms. K. Kipgen, Director (SR) on behalf of the Chairperson welcomed the Members and other attendees in the meeting. It was noted that the bifurcation of the States took place 16 years ago and final allocation was made almost 14 years ago. She therefore, emphasised the need for winding up the Advisory Committee by March, 2017. Both representatives of the two State Governments also agreed to the same. However, the modalities for winding up will be worked out with the consent of both the States subsequently. As per agenda item, the matter pertaining to 28 Departments were discussed department-wise.


3. As agreed by both the State Governments the following two issues were ratified during the meeting:

- (i) the cut-off date for retention of those employees due to retire within 2 years effective from 01.04.2016
- (ii) last date of receipt of representation has been fixed as 31.03.2016

Accordingly, both the State Govts. were requested to widely publish the decision.

However, the Committee admitted the representations received till the date of meeting i.e. 06.10.2016 for consideration. Accordingly, as per agenda 8 employees due to retire by March, 2018 were recommended for retention in MP/Chhattisgarh respectively. Further the representations for change in the allocation in respect of 114 employees were also considered.

4. In addition, the Committee examined 148 pending Writ Petitions/Appeals, etc. and undated the details. The Committee further suggested that the concerned Departments shall appoint Officer-in-charge in respect of all legal matters relating to allocation and the status of hearing in the respective High Courts regularly. It was also observed by the Committee that at least 80-90% of the pending cases were as old as



2005-2013. The Committee therefore impressed upon those departmental representatives present to request their respective Counsels and Advocate General to get all the pending cases duly mentioned/represented before the Courts and take necessary steps for expediting their disposal.

5. The Committee noted that as reported by the concerned administrative Departments many petitioners have retired/expired during pendency of the writ petitions/appeals filed in the Hon'ble High Courts. The Committee desired that in all these cases Miscellaneous Applications may be filed by the respondents respectively in terms of guidelines dated 11.08.2008 issued by Govt. of India for quick disposal of these cases. The Committee further desired that in respect of all such court cases which are covered by the decision taken by the Committee in para 3 (i) above i.e. retention of those employees due to retire within 2 years effective from 01.04.2016, miscellaneous applications may be filed by the both the State Govts. as well as by the Central Govt. informing the Courts about the decision and request for disposal of these cases.

6. As regards representations/writ petitions/compliance of Court's orders for revision of allocation, the Committee considered 114 cases in total. The decision taken during the meeting are as given below. **The recommendations of the Committee in each of these 114 cases are at Annexure-II.**

Total No. of cases considered	No. of cases recommended for acceptance	No. of cases recommended for rejection	No. of cases recommended to drop	No. of cases deferred
114	37	40	21	16

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.



List of Attendees

1. Sh. V.P. Sharma, IG (Pers.), PHQ, D/o Home, Govt. of M.P.
2. Sh. K.R. Mishra, Addl. Secretary, D/o General Administration, Govt. of Chhattisgarh
3. Sh. Akhilesh Upadhyay, Chief Engineer, D/o Public Works, Govt. of M.P.
4. Sh. SK Jain, Controller, D/o Weight and Measurement, Govt. of M.P.
5. Sh. R.S. Rathore, Addl. Director, Dte. of Eco. & Statistics, Govt. of M.P.
6. Smt. Usha Parmar, Deputy Secretary, D/o General Administration, Govt. of M.P.
7. Sh. M.L. Jain, Joint Director, D/o Farmers' Welfare & Agri. Dev, Govt. of M.P.
8. Sh. S.J. Yadav, Deputy Secretary, D/o Technical Education, Govt. of M.P.
9. Sh. Ravindra Singh, Deputy Secretary, D/o Women and Child Dev., Govt. of M.P.
10. Sh. Parvat Singh, Deputy Secretary, D/o MSME, Govt. of M.P.
11. Sh. B.K. Chandel, Deputy Secretary, D/o Food, Civil Supply, Govt. of M.P.
12. Sh. Atul Kumar Mishra, Deputy Secretary, D/o Horticulture, Govt. of M.P.
13. Sh. RPS Jadon, Deputy Secretary, D/o Water Resources, Govt. of M.P.
14. Sh. A.S. Baghel, Deputy Secretary, D/o Tribal Welfare, Govt. of M.P.
15. Sh. Arun Parmar, Deputy Secretary, D/o Commercial Tax, Govt. of M.P.
16. Sh. Prakash Khare, Deputy Secretary, D/o Co-operation, Govt. of M.P.
17. Smt. Aziza Jafar, Deputy Secretary, D/o Home, Govt. of M.P.
18. Smt. Kamla Ajeetwal, Deputy Secretary, D/o Fisheries, Govt. of M.P.
19. Smt. Sarita Bala, Deputy Secretary, D/o Planning, Govt. of M.P.
20. Sh. K.K. Bhavasar, Joint Controller, D/o Weight and Measurement, Govt. of M.P.
21. Sh. G.S. Wadhwa, Joint Director, Dte. Public Relation, Govt. of M.P.
22. Sh. Mahaveer Singh Mujalde, AIG (Pers.), PHQ, D/o Home, Govt. of M.P.
23. Sh. R.K. Hirodia, SE (Admin.), D/o Public Health Engineering, Govt. of M.P.
24. Sh. Sanjay Khande, SE, D/o Public Works, Govt. of M.P.
25. Sh. K.K. Saxena, Deputy Director, Dte. of Public Relation, Govt. of M.P.
26. Sh. JP Kolhakar, Deputy Director, D/o Horticulture, Govt. of M.P.
27. Sh. Hemraj Singh, Deputy Director, D/o Farmers' Welfare & Agri. Dev, Govt. of M.P.
28. Sh. U.P. Singh, Senior Law Officer, D/o Jail, Govt. of M.P.
29. Dr. S.P. Singh, Nodal officer (Law), D/o Public Health and Family Wel., Govt. of M.P.
30. Sh. Chandra Kumar, Under Secretary, D/o Gramodyog, Govt. of M.P.
31. Sh. S.I. Aziz, Under Secretary, D/o Forest, Govt. of M.P.
32. Sh. P.B. Singh, SBO, D/o Home Guard and Civil Defense, Govt. of M.P.
33. Dr. C.M. Tripathi, Deputy Director, Dte. of Health Services, Govt. of M.P.
34. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Deputy Director, D/o MSME, Govt. M.P.


Spandan

Recommendations of the Committee in each individual case are reflected in the following table:

Court Cases where employees retired/due to retire within next two years:-

Sr. No.	Agenda item No.	Name, Designation, Department and WP/WA No.	Recommendations of the Advisory Committee
1	1	Shri Jagdish Chandra Jat, Sub-Engineer, D/o Water Resources WP No. 1743/2011	<p>The Committee observed that writ petitions filed by these employees are pending in the Hon'ble High Courts of M.P and Chhattisgarh. Counter Affidavits have been filed by the Govt. of India. The Administrative Departments have confirmed that these employees retired on superannuation while serving the in the State of M.P./Chhattisgarh on the dates indicated against their names as below:</p> <p>Shri Jagdish Chandra Jat- 30.4.2016, Shri Tulsi Prasad Khutle- 31.8.2015, Shri M.K. Mansuri- 30.6.2014, Shri Raghvendra Prasad Pandey- 31.3.2015 Shri V.S. Raghuvanshi- 30.6.2016</p> <p>The Committee noted that in terms of clarification dated 11.8.2008 issued by the Govt. of India, employees retired while serving during pendency of Court cases are deemed allocated to the State they served last.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Committee recommended that additional counter affidavits incorporating the retirement of the petitioner be filed in the Hon'ble High Court by the Govt. of India as well by the respondents State Govts. to get these cases disposed of.</p> <p>The concerned Administrative Departments of these employees confirmed that they are due to retire on superannuation on the dates mentioned against their names as below: Shri Arun Kumar Tripathi - 31.12.2016 Shri Suresh Chandra Khandesh- 31.7.2017 Shri A. S. Ansari- retiring on 31.8.2017, Shri Dinesh Babu Mishra- retiring on 31.12.2017, Shri Bhupendra Kocheta- retiring on 31.3.2017, Shri Vijay Pal Sigh Bhadoria- 31.10.2017 Shri Rajendra Kumar Maheshwari- 31.3.2018 Dr. Rishabh Jain- 31.05.2017</p> <p>In view of the agreement by both the States i.e. M.P.</p>
2	2	Shri Tulsi Prasad Khutle, Non Medical Supervisor, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare WP 6735/2010	
3	3	Shri M.K. Mansuri, Sub-Engineer, D/o Water Resources WP No. 6454/2013	
4	4	Shri Raghvendra Prasad Pandey, Head Constable, D/o Home WP No. 12294/2008	
5	5	Shri V.S. Raghuvanshi, Inspector, D/o Weight and Measurement (Food and Civil Supply) WP No. 8759/2013	
6	6	Shri Arun Kumar Tripathi, Head Constable (Radio), D/o Home WP No. 2010/2005 WA No. 428/2012	
7	7	Shri Suresh Chandra Khandesh, Sub-Engineer, D/o Water Resources WP No. 2831/2005 WA. No. 345/2007	

8	8	Shri A.S. Ansari, Inspector, D/o Home WP No. 6023/2005	& Chhattisgarh to retain all employees who are due to retire within 2 years w.e.f. 01.04.2016, the Committee recommended retention of these employees.
9	9	Shri Dinesh Babu Mishra, Sub-Engineer, D/o PHE WP5303/2014	
10	10	Shri Bhupendra Kocheta, Sub-Engineer, D/o Water Resource WP No. 3749/2013	
11	12	Shri Vijay Pal Singh Bhadoria, Sub-Engineer, D/o PHE WP No. 5785/2014	
12	13	Shri Rajendra Kumar Maheshwari, Sub-Engineer, D/o Water Resources W.P. No. 9847/2012	
13	15	Dr. Rishabh Jain, AMO, D/o Ayush WP No. 8746/2013	<p>The Committee noted that writ petition filed by Shri Srivastava is pending in the Hon'ble High Court of M.P. Counter Affidavit has been filed by the Govt. of India. The Administrative Department informed that date of retirement of Shri Srivastava is 31.7.2018 which was earlier indicated as 31.7.2017 as per TFAL.</p> <p>Therefore, the Committee noted that the matter be considered subject to outcome of the writ petition. Accordingly, the Committee recommended to drop this case.</p> <p>The Administrative Department confirmed that these Ayurvedic Medical Officers retired on superannuation while serving the Govt. of M.P. on the dates indicated against their names as under:</p> <p>Dr. Upendra Kumar Gupta- 30.09.2016 Dr. Sher Bahadur Singh- 31.01.2013 Dr. Satish Dutt Garg- 31.01.2016 Dr. Hira Lal Jain- 30.09.2016 Dr. Vishambhar Dayal Chaturvedi- 30.06.2016 Dr. Devendra Pratap Singh Sengar- 31.8.2016</p> <p>In view of the above confirmation and facts, the Committee recommended that they be considered t as</p>
14	11	Shri Virendra Kumar Srivastava, Asstt. Fisheries Officer, D/o Fisheries WP No. 1191/2014	
15	14	Dr. Upendra Kumar Gupta, AMO, D/o Ayush WP No. 547/ 2014	
16	16	Dr. Sher Bahadur Singh, AMO, D/o Ayush WP No. 11468/07	
17	17	Dr. Satish Dutt Garg, AMO, D/o Ayush WP No. 15662/07	
18	18	Dr. Hira Lal Jain, AMO, D/o Ayush	



		WP No. 15378/ 2005	deemed allocated as per guidelines dated 11.08.2008 issued by the Central Govt. with regard to dead/retired employees.
19	19	Dr. Vishambhar Dayal Chaturvedi, AMO, D/o Ayush WP No. 3905/07	
20	20	Dr. Devendra Pratap Singh Sengar, AMO, D/o Ayush WP No.3793/2008	

Representations/writ petitions for revision of allocation based on SC/ST policy:

Sr. No.	Agenda item No.	Name, Designation, Department and W.P./W.A. No.	Recommendations of the Advisory Committee
21	21	Shri Ram Awatar Semil, Sub Engineer, D/o Water Resources WA No. 347/ 2015	The Committee observed that writ petitions filed by these employees are pending in the Hon'ble High Courts wherein they prayed for consideration of their request for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. The respective Administrative Departments confirmed that Shri Semil, Shri Chikaba and Shri Malviya belong to SC category and Shri Armo belongs to ST category. They are State cadre employees and are domicile/optees of Madhya Pradesh.
22	22	Shri Kartik Prasad Armo, Tracer, D/o Public Health Engineering WP No. 12646/ 2010	In view of the above confirmation/facts, the Committee recommended revision of their allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised guidelines dated 28/29.07.2008 & 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees as they belong to SC/ST category and are domicile/optees of M.P.
23	23	Shri Radheshyam Malviya, Assistant Research Officer D/o Water Resources W.P. No. 2352/2008	The Committee further recommended that Affidavits be filed by the respondents, Central Govt. as well as State Govts. in the Hon'ble High Courts accordingly.
24	113	Shri Mayaram Chikaba, Amin, D/o Water Resources	
25	24	Shri Sanjay Kumar Godale, Principal, D/o Tribal Welfare	The respective Administrative Departments confirmed that Shri Godale and Shri Nareti withdrew their respective representations for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under SC/ST category.
26	38	Shri P L Nareti, Asst. Grade-II, D/o Farmers' Wel. and Agri. Dev.	In view of the submission of the Administrative Departments, the Committee recommended to drop these cases.

S. K. Mani

27	25	Smt. Monika Navrang, Deputy Registrar, D/o Commercial Tax	The respective Administrative Departments of these employees confirmed that these employees appointed after the bifurcation of erstwhile State of M.P. on the dates indicated against their names as below: Smt. Monika Navrang- 04.03.2002 Shri Girish Kumar Daharia- 31.01.2003 Shri Ajit Kumar Khes- 03.10.2003 Since their appointments were made after the appointed day for M.P. State bifurcation i.e. 1.11.2000, they do not come under the purview of the Advisory Committee. Hence, their representations have been rejected.
28	45	Shri Girish Kumar Daharia, Manchitrakar, D/o Forest	
29	111	Shri Ajit Kumar Khes, ASI (Radio), D/o Home	
30	26	Shri Sukhram Parte, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Works	The respective Administrative Departments confirmed that these employees belong to SC/ST category as indicated against their names below: Shri Shri Sukhram Parte- ST category Shri Ramswaroop Koge- SC category Shri Rajan Singh- SC category Shri Lekhraj Malviya- SC category Shri Chandra Singh Malviya - SC category Shri Ashok Kumar Bagdi- SC category Shri Jagdish Marola- ST category Shri Amir Chand Suryavanshi- SC category Shri Harish Vasnik- SC category They are State cadre employees and are domicile/optee of Madhya Pradesh. In view of the above confirmation/facts, the Committee recommended revision of their allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised guidelines dated 28/29.07.2008 & 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees as they belong to SC/ST category and are domicile/optees of M.P.
31	27	Shri Ramswaroop Koge, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Works	
32	29	Shri Rajan Singh, Constable, D/o Home	
33	30	Shri Lekhraj Malviya, Head Constable, D/o Home	
34	36	Shri Chandra Singh Malviya, District Organizer, D/o Tribal Welfare	
35	39	Shri Ashok Kumar Bagdi, Asstt. Gd.-III, D/o Commerce & Industry	
36	40	Shri Jagdish Marola, Mechanic-cum- Operator, D/o Tech. Education	
37	41	Shri Amir Chand Suryavanshi, Superintendent, D/o Tech. Education	
38	43	Shri Harish Vasnik, Asstt. Grade-III, D/o School Edu.	
39	28	Shri Krishan Kumar Gaur, Samaypal, D/o Public Works	

40	31	Shri Mahesh Maravi, Constable, D/o Home	to the State where they were working as on the appointed day i.e. 01.11.2000. The Committee noted that no separate orders for allocation of non-State cadre employee were issued. Therefore, consideration of allocation of non-State Cadre employee does not fall within the purview of Advisory Committee. Therefore, the Committee recommended rejection of their respective representations.
41	33	Shri Angad Prasad, Head Constable, D/o Home	
42	34	Shri Radheshyam Gurjar, Head Constable, D/o Home	
43	35	Shri Udaybhan Singh, Head Constable, D/o Home	
44	42	Shri Raghuraj Prasad Lathewal, Workshop Director, D/o Tech. Education	
45	94	Shri Munnalal Tilve, Prahari, D/o Jail	
46	108	Shri Baiju Bhagat, Vanpal, D/o Forest	<p>In compliance of directions dated 01.09.2016 of the Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh in WP No. 4322/2016 in the Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh, the Committee considered the representation of Shri Sone for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under SC/ST policy guidelines.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Sone belongs to SC category and is domicile and optees of Chhattisgarh. He was allocated to Chhattisgarh as per his option for Chhattisgarh (A-2 category). They further informed that as per service records his home district is Bilaspur in Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the SC/ST employees who are domicile/optee of any of the successor States are only eligible for revision of allocation under the revised guidelines dated 28/29.07.2008 & 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees. The Committee further noted that Shri Sone is neither domicile nor optee of State of M.P. Therefore, he is not eligible for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under extant guidelines on allocation for SC/ST employees. In view of the above confirmation/facts, the Committee recommended rejection of representation of Shri Sone. A speaking order shall be issued accordingly.</p>
47	95	Shri Vishal Sone, Inspector, D/o Home WP No. 4322/2016	

48	32	Shri Mukesh Satankar, Sub-Inspector, D/o Home	<p>Sh. Satankar submitted a representation for revision of State allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under SC/ST policy. He has claimed that his home district is in MP and he belongs to SC category.</p> <p>The Administrative Department confirmed that Shri Satankar is a State cadre employee who belongs to SC category and is domicile of Guna, M.P. He was allocated to Chhattisgarh as per his option (A-2 category).</p> <p>Department of Home, M.P. informed that Shri Satnakar was relieved to join Govt. of Chhattisgarh vide order dated 27.2.2004. Govt. of Chhattisgarh informed that he has not joined in Chhattisgarh till date.</p> <p>In view of the above position, the Committee desired that the matter may be re-examined/verified by both the State Govts. and submitted to the Central Govt. alongwith recommendations immediately after receipt of the minutes.</p>
49	37	Shri Rajendra Kumar, Asst. Grade-III, D/o Water Resources	<p>Shri Kumar submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under SC/ST policy. He belongs to SC category and is domicile/optee of Madhya Pradesh.</p> <p>The Committee observed that the Administrative Department did not provide their comments/recommendations confirming cadre, option, domicile, date of appointment, post held at the time of allocation, place of posting and category of Shri Kumar alongwith copies of TFAL & FAL.</p> <p>Therefore, the Committee deferred the matter and instructed the Administrative Department to provide the desired information to the Central Govt. immediately after receipt of minutes.</p>
50	100	Shri Anand Ram Kawar, Principal D/o School Education	<p>Shri Kawar submitted a representation for revision of his state allocation from M.P. to Chhattisgarh under revised policy dated 28/29.07.2008 and 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees. He belongs to ST category and is domicile/optee of Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>The Committee observed that the Administrative Department did not provide their comments/recommendations confirming cadre, post held at the time of allocation, option, domicile, date of appointment, place of posting and category of Shri Kawar alongwith copies of TFAL & FAL. The</p>

			<p>representative of Department of General Administration, Govt. of M.P. informed that the Administrative Department of Shri Kawar is being verified as he appears to be an employee of Department of Tribal Welfare/Panchayat and Rural Development.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Committee deferred the matter and instructed the Department of General Administration M.P./Chhattisgarh to verify/check the Administrative Department of Shri Kawar and to provide the desired information to the Central Govt. immediately after receipt of minutes.</p>
51	107	Shri Ved Prasad Lahre, Principal, D/o School Education	<p>Shri Lahre has submitted a representation for revision of his state allocation from M.P. to Chhattisgarh under revised policy dated 28/29.07.2008 and 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees. He belongs to SC category and is domicile/optee of Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>The Committee observed that the Administrative Department did not provide their comments/recommendations confirming cadre, post held at the time of allocation, option, domicile, date of appointment, place of posting and category of Shri Lahre alongwith copies of TFAL & FAL.</p> <p>Therefore, the Committee deferred the matter and instructed the Administrative Department to provide the desired information to the Central Govt. immediately after receipt of minutes.</p>
52	44	Shri Narendra Singh, Asstt. Grade-III, D/o Medical Education (Earlier in D/o Commerce and Industry, M.P.)	<p>Shri Singh submitted a representation for revision of his state allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. under revised policy dated 28/29.07.2008 and 24.06.2010 for allocation of SC/ST employees. He belongs to ST category and is domicile/optee of M.P..</p> <p>The Committee observed that the Department Medical Education, Govt. of M.P. informed that Shri Singh was an employee of Department of Commerce and Industry. He was transferred to Chhattisgarh on mutual transfer basis with Shri Vinayak Ranade, Asstt. Grade-III in Department of Medical Education, Chhattisgarh vide order dated 31.10.2002 issued by Department of Commerce and Industry, M.P. But the Administrative Department did not provide their information confirming cadre, post held at the time of allocation, option, domicile, date of appointment, place of posting and category of Shri Singh alongwith copies of TFAL & FAL.</p>


			In view of the above, the Committee deferred the matter with instructions to the Administrative Department to re-look/re-examine the matter and provide the desired information to the Central Govt. immediately after receipt of the minutes.
--	--	--	--

Representations under Spouse, medical, etc. grounds:-

Sr. No.	Agenda item No.	Name, Designation, Department and WP/WA No.	Recommendations of the Advisory Committee
53	46	Shri Rajkumar, Sharma, Constable, D/o Home	<p>The Committee considered the representation of Shri Sharma for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to Madhya Pradesh. Shri Sharma has stated that he is domicile & Optee of M.P. but he was allocated to Chhattisgarh against option. He has to look after his old aged ill parents. His wife is working as Shiksha Karmi in M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Sharma was allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis (A-4 category). His wife is not a State Govt. employee in M.P. and she is not serving as Siksha Karmi.</p> <p>The Committee noted that family circumstances and other grounds are not covered under the guidelines of allocation. His wife is not a State Govt. employee in M.P. The Committee further noted that he was allocated to Chhattisgarh in accordance with extant guidelines of allocation. Mere domicile & option do not confer a right to allocation to the opted State. In view of the above, the Committee recommended rejection of his representation.</p>
54	48	Shri Mazhar Khan, Contable, D/o Home	<p>The Committee considered the representation of Shri Khan for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P wherein he stated that his wife viz. Smt. Anjum Bano is working under Govt. of M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Shri Khan informed that Smt. Anjum Bano was appointed to the post of Assistant Grade-III in the Office of Dy. Registrar, Chhatarpur, M.P. vide order dated 27.11.2012.</p> <p>The Committee noted that wife of Shri Khan was appointed after the appointed day for allocation i.e. 1.11.2000. She was not in Govt. service at the time of</p>

			M.P. State bifurcation. Therefore, the Committee recommended rejection of his representation.
55	49	Smt. Raj Tripathi, Lecturer, D/o School Education	<p>Smt. Tripathi submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. on sympathetic grounds.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Smt. Tripathi informed that she was allocated to Chhattisgarh as per her option.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Smt. Tripathi was allocated to Chhattisgarh as per her option in accordance with policy guidelines. Option once exercised is not reversible. The Committee, therefore, recommended rejection of her representation.</p>
56	50	Shri Braj Mohan Chaurasia, Head Constable, D/o Home	<p>Shri Chaurasia submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. wherein he stated that his home district was wrongly indicated as Raigarh, Chhattisgarh instead of Rajgarh, M.P. in his service records. He was allocated in general category instead of OBC category. His wife is a victim of Bhopal Gas Tragedy.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Shri Chaurasia informed that Shri Chaurasia was allocated to Chhattisgarh on the basis of A-3 (home district) category. He has not produced any documentary evidence in support of his claim that his wife is victim of Bhopal Gas Tragedy and she had received compensation.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Shri Chaurasia was allocated to Chhattisgarh under A-3 (home district) category as per existing guidelines of allocation. In the absence of any documentary proof in support of his claim that his wife is victim of Bhopal Gas Tragedy, his request can not be considered under the guidelines of allocation. The Committee, therefore, recommended rejection of his representation.</p>
57	51	Shri Ashok Kumar Mishra, Head Constable, D/o Home	<p>Shri Mishra submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. wherein he stated that his home district and ancestral property is in M.P. He had opted for M.P. His son is suffering from mental retardation who is 80% physically handicapped.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that he was allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis (A-4 category). He has produced a disability certificate dated 22.04.2014 issued by District Medical Board,</p>

			<p>Raipur in support of his claim that his son is suffering from 80% mental retardation.</p> <p>The Committee noted that it is not known whether physical disability and mental retardation were present at the time of allocation. Therefore, the Committee instructed to verify the same and deferred the matter.</p>
58	52	Shri Anil Prakash Sharma, Head Constable (Radio), D/o Home	<p>Shri Sharma and Shri Vishwakarma submitted their representations for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. wherein they stated that their home districts are in M.P. and they had opted for M.P.</p>
59	53	Shri Dayaram Vishwakarma, Head Constable (Radio), D/o Home	<p>The Administrative Department informed that they were allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis (A-4 category) in accordance with the guidelines of allocation.</p> <p>The Committee noted that they were allocated to Chhattisgarh in accordance with the guidelines of allocation. Only option and domicile do not confer a right for allocation to the opted State. The Committee, therefore, recommended rejection of their representations.</p>
60	54	Shek Irfan Khan, Bhriya, D/o Home	<p>Shri Khan submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. wherein he stated that his home district is in M.P. He is a class-IV employee and victim of Bhopal Gas Tragedy.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Khan was allocated to Chhattisgarh on his own request under swap/mutual policy with Shri Deepak Meral. He was relieved from M.P. on 21.10.2002 and since then he is serving in the State of Chhattisgarh. He never submitted any representation before. the Administrative Department recommended rejection of his representation.</p> <p>The Committee noted the above submission of the Administrative Department and observed that he was allocated to Chhattisgarh in accordance with the guidelines on his own request. He has once availed the benefit of revision of allocation under swap/mutual policy. The Committee. Therefoe, recommended rejection of his representation.</p>
61	55	Shri Tehsildar Singh Parihar, Constable, D/o Home	<p>Shri Parihar submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. wherein he stated that his home district is in M.P. and he had opted for M.P. His father is suffering from TB.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Shri Parihar</p>



			<p>informed that he was allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis (A-4 category). His home district is Bhind, M.P.</p> <p>The Committee noted that he was allocated to Chhattisgarh in accordance with the guidelines. Only option and domicile do not confer a right for allocation to the opted State. The medical condition of his father – suffering from TB is not covered under medical hardships category prescribed under guidelines. The Committee, therefore, recommended rejection of his representation.</p>
62	56	Shri Dharmendra Singh Bais, Platoon Commander, D/o Home	<p>Shri Bais submitted a representation for revision of allocation from M.P. to Chhattisgarh wherein he stated that his home district is Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh and he had opted for Chhattisgarh at the time of allocation.</p> <p>The Administrative Department of Shri Bais confirmed that his home district is Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh and he had opted for Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>The Committee noted that employees were allocated to Chhattisgarh first by category A-1 viz. "Domicile of Chhattisgarh and option for that state", second by category A-2 viz. "option for Chhattisgarh", third by category A-3 viz. "domicile (Home District) of Chhattisgarh" and lastly by category A-4 viz. "inclusion of junior most personnel. The Committee further noted that Shri Bais is eligible for allocation to Chhattisgarh as per existing guidelines. Therefore, the Committee, recommended revision of his allocation of from M.P. to Chhattisgarh.</p>
63	57	Shri Virendra Pandey, Constable (Radio), D/o Home	<p>These employees submitted their representations for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P.</p> <p>The respective Administrative Departments confirmed that Shri Pandey and Smt. Paraste were appointed on 09.12.2010 and in the year 2005 respectively after bifurcation of erstwhile State of MP.</p>
64	62	Smt. Kavita Paraste, Assistant Professor, D/o Higher Education	<p>Since their appointments were made after the appointed day for M.P. State bifurcation i.e. 1.11.2000, they do not come under the purview of the Advisory Committee. Hence, their representations have been rejected.</p>
65	58	Shri Balkumar Suryavanshi, Constable, D/o Home	<p>Shri Suryavanshi submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. wherein he stated that he belongs to OBC category and he is domicile & optee of M.P.</p>

			<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Suryavanshi informed that he was allocated to Chhattisgarh against his option for M.P. on juniority basis (A-4 category) in accordance with the guidelines.</p> <p>The Committee noted that he was allocated to Chhattisgarh in accordance with the guidelines. Only option, domicile and category do not confer a right for allocation to the opted State. The Committee, therefore, recommended rejection of his representation.</p>
66	61	Smt. Sangita Tiwari, Asst. Grade-III, D/o Commerce & Industry	<p>The Committee observed that Smt. Sangita Tiwari submitted a representation for revision of allocation of her husband Shri Suresh Tiwari, Dy. Director in Department of Public Relation, Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>The Admin. Department in M.P. informed that Smt. Tiwari is not working in the State of M.P.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the representation is submitted by Smt. Tiwari, it is not submitted by her husband Shri Suresh Tiwari. Therefore, the Committee recommended rejection of her representation.</p>
67	96	Shri Ajay Kumar Raj Khalko, Shikshakarmi, D/o Education	<p>Shri Khalko submitted a representation for revision of his state allocation from M.P. to Chhattisgarh under spouse policy as his wife viz. Usha Ekka is working as Sikshakarmi Verg-I in Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>The Committee observed that the Administrative Department did not provide their comments/recommendations confirming cadre, post held at the time of allocation, option, domicile, date of appointment, place of posting and category of Shri Khalko alongwith copies of TFAL & FAL. The representative of Department of General Administration, Govt. of M.P. informed that Shri Khalko appears to be non-state cadre employee.</p> <p>Therefore, the Committee deferred the matter and instructed the Administrative Department to verify the cadre and provide the desired information to the Central Govt. immediately after receipt of minutes.</p>
68	47	Shri Shivakant Tiwari, Constable, D/o Home	<p>The respective Administrative Departments informed that these employees belong to non-State cadre. Non-State cadre employees stood allocated to the State where they were working as on the appointed day i.e. 01.11.2000. The Committee noted that no separate orders for allocation of non-State cadre employee were issued. Therefore, consideration of</p>
69	63	Mohammad Ismail Qureshi, Multi-Purpose	

		Health Worker, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare	allocation of these employees does not fall within the purview of Advisory Committee. Therefore, the Committee recommended rejection of their respective representations.
70	59	Shri Lala Ram Patel, Head Constable, D/o Home	
71	60	Shri Arvind Singh Jadaun, Head Constable, D/o Home	
72	97	Shri Rajesh Kumar, Head Constable, D/o Home	
73	98	Shri Pushpendra Richhariya, Constable, D/o Home	
74	99	Shri Subodh Purohit, Head Constable, D/o Home	
75	109	Shri Bacharam Kushwah, Constable, D/o Home	
76	110	Shri Ajay Shankar Mishra, Head Constable, D/o Home	
77	112	Shri Krishnpal Agnihotri, Contable, D/o Home	

Representations in compliance of Court's directions:

Sr. No.	Agenda item No.	Name, Designation, Department and WP/WA No.	Recommendations of the Advisory Committee
78	64	Dr. Ramesh Kumar Neema, Medical Specialist, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare W.P. No. 1836/12007	In compliance of Court's directions dated 30.11.2011, Dr. Neema submitted a representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. wherein he has raised following grounds: (i) 65 Medical Specialist (names mentioned in the representation) junior to him were in the pay scale of Rs. 12000-16500 but were allocated to M.P. in the pay scale of Rs. 10000-15200 (ii) Dr. Ram Chandra Sharma and 23 others (names

Sk. Mondal


			<p>mentioned in the representation) Specialist doctors junior to him were in the pay scale of Rs. 12000-16500 but were allocated to M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department did not furnish information regarding allocation of doctors junior to Dr. Neema to M.P. and grant of ACP to above juniors with retrospective effect on/prior to 23.09.2000, notwithstanding the fact that orders to this effect were issued after publication of TFAL and they were allocated to M.P. in lower pay scale.</p> <p>The Committee desired that specific information alongwith recommendations of the Administrative Department may be provided to the Central Govt. immediately after receipt of the minutes. The Committee, accordingly, deferred the matter.</p>
79	65	<p>Shri Rajendra Singh Bhadoriya, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Health Engineering W A No. 321/2011</p>	<p>The Committee considered the representation made earlier by Shri Bhadoria in compliance of directions dated 13.3.2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in WA No. 321/2011. The petitioner raised the following grounds in his earlier representation:-</p> <p>(i) He is domicile of M.P., had opted for M.P. but was allocated to Chhattisgarh against his option.</p> <p>(ii) His juniors viz. Shri Ghanshyam Aggarwal (Sl. No. 1358), Shri Satnish Prakash Sahu (Sl. No. 1369), Shri Kailash Chandra Pansari (Sl. No. 1362) were allocated to State of M.P.</p> <p>(iii) Allocation of Sub-Engineers to the State of Chhattisgarh was made in excess to the laid down percentage of 26.23.</p> <p>The Administrative Department did not inform whether these juniors were given the benefit of ACP in the pay scale of Rs. 8000-13500 with retrospective effect on/prior to 23.09.2000 but orders to this effect were issued after publication of TFAL and they were allocated to the State of M.P. in lower pay scale.</p> <p>Therefore, the Committee instructed the Administrative Department to re-examine and re-submit the matter. The Committee accordingly deferred that matter.</p>
80	66	<p>Shri Ravi Prakash Bajpai, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Health Engineering WP No. 1425/ 2011 & 2698/ 2005</p>	<p>The Committee considered the representation of Shri Bajpai in compliance of directions dated 30.11.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of MP Bench at Gwalior.</p> <p>Shri Bajpai contended that his juniors viz. Shri Jagdish Chandra Joshi (S.No.1435B), Shri Pankaj</p>

			<p>Malhotra (S.No.1444) and several other persons were allocated to M.P. Further, Shri Har Swaroop Gaur and Shri S.P. Shrivastava, who were finally allocated to Chhattisgarh, are still working in the State of M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Joshi and Shri Malhotra were allocated to M.P. under swap/mutual transfer and medical grounds respectively. Shri Gaur and Shri Srivastava are working in M.P. in compliance of Court's order because they have been granted stay.</p> <p>Therefore, the Committee recommended rejection of representation of Shri Bajpai :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Since 2 of his juniors were allocated to M.P. under mutual transfer/medical grounds. (ii) The other 2 juniors were retained in M.P. as there is a stay on their final allocation. <p>A speaking order shall be issued accordingly.</p>
81	67	Shri Manish Kumar Wallabhdas, Scientific Officer, D/o Home, WP No. 19040/2003	<p>The Committee considered the representations dated 21.10.2003 & 02.03.2015 submitted by Shri Wallabhdas in compliance of directions dated 22.09.2003 of the Hon'ble High Court of M.P. to the Central Government for deciding the fresh representation submitted by Shri Wallabhdas.</p> <p>The Committee observed that Shri Wallabhdas has raised following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) His home district is in the State of M.P. and he had opted for M.P. at the time of allocation. (ii) His mentally disturb and physically unfit aunty (bua ji), who is completely dependent on him, is suffering from chronic urinary disease and getting treatment from Indore, M.P. (iii) 11 Scientific Officers, appointed in 1985, were not given the benefit of kramonnati vetanman (ACP) at the time of allocation. <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Wallabhdas was allocated to Chhattisgarh against his option for M.P. under junior most category (A-4). His Buaji is not residing with him at his residence at Indore, M.P. They further informed that 11 Scientific Officers, appointed in 1985, were not given the benefit of kramonnati vetanman (ACP) at the time of allocation.</p>

			<p>The Committee noted that only domicile and option do not confer a right for allocated to opted state. Moreover, Bua ji does not come under the definition of family. The Committee further observed that the Administrative Department did not provide details of 11 Scientific Officers, appointed in 1985, who were not given the benefit of kramonnati vetanman (ACP).</p> <p>The Committee instructed the Administrative Department to provide the specific information as to whether these juniors were given the benefit of ACP in with retrospective effect on/prior to 23.09.2000 but orders to this effect were issued after publication of TFAL and they were allocated to the State of M.P. in lower pay scale. The Committee accordingly deferred the matter.</p>
82	68	Shri Dharmendra Singh Chhawai, Dy. SP, D/o Home WP No. 2074/2005 WP No. 2612/2007	<p>The Committee observed that Shri Chhawai was finally allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh under swap with Shri Shivshankar Sharma. But he did not join the State of Chhattisgarh and filed writ petition No.2074/2005 before the Hon'ble Court for quashing his relieving order dated 23.06.2005 to the State of Chhattisgarh issued by Govt of MP. The said order was quashed by the Hon'ble Court vide its order dated 08.10.2007 passed in W.P. No.2612/2007 filed by Shri Chhawai.</p> <p>However, vide representation dated 23.09.2008 submitted to the Govt. of India Shri Chhawai requested to relieve him for the State of Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>In view of above, both the State Govts. were requested by the Central Govt. to take appropriate action to relieve him for joining the duty in the State of Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>The Committee observed that the Department of Home, Govt. of M.P. did not relieve Shri Chhawai and instead sought opinion/decision taken by the Central Govt. in the matter.</p> <p>The Committee recommended that legal opinion may be sought by the Govt. of M.P. in the matter. It may be re-examined accordingly and a proposal may be sent to the Govt. of India immediately after receipt of the minutes.</p>
83	69	Shri Suresh Agnihotri, Reserve Inspector, D/o Home	<p>The matter was considered in compliance of directions dated 07.07.2005 in W.P. No.3999/2005 passed by the Hon'ble High Court directed Central Government to decide the representation of Shri</p>

		WP No. 3999/2005	<p>Agnihotri by assigning cogent reasons.</p> <p>The Committee observed that Shri Agnihotri raised following grounds in his representation regarding revision of State allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) At the time of his initial appointment, he had mentioned his residential address as Raipur in service records because his father was living in Raipur. (ii) His native/home town is Itarasi, District Hoshangabad, M.P. (iii) His juniors were allocated to M.P. <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Agnihotri was allocated to Chhattisgarh as per his Home District (under A-3 category) in accordance with the guidelines of allocation. Other grounds raised by him do not make him eligible for revision of allocation to M.P.</p> <p>The Committee noted the above facts and recommended rejection of his representation. A speaking order will issued accordingly.</p>
84	70	Shri Ram Sharan Singh, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Health Engineering WP No. 6592/2005	<p>The matter was considered in compliance of Court's directions dated 25.11.2014.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Shri Singh has raised following grounds in his earlier representation:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) His home district is in the State of M.P. and he had opted for MP. (ii) His juniors mentioned at Sl. No.792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 821, 833, 834, 879, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888 in gradation list were not allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh. <p>The Administrative Department did not furnish the copy of order dated 25.11.2014 and specific information regarding allocation of juniors to M.P. The Committee instructed the Administrative Department to provide the information whether his juniors were given the benefit of ACP in the pay scale of Shri Singh with retrospective effect on/prior to 23.09.2000 but orders to this effect were issued after publication of TFAL and they were allocated to the State of M.P. in lower pay scale. Accordingly, the Committee deferred the matter.</p>
85	71	Shri Ramdhani Mishra, RAEO, D/o Farmers Welfare & Agri. Dev.	<p>The matter was considered in compliance of directions dated 19.11.2014 in W.P. No.2722/2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of M.P. The Committee observed that the Hon'ble High Court</p>

		WP No. 2722/2010	<p>quashed the allocation order to the State of Chhattisgarh in respect of petitioner and allowed him to remain in the State of Madhya Pradesh.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Shri Mishra has raised following grounds in writ petition:-</p> <p>(i) Many juniors to him were not given the benefit of Kramonnati from due dates. They were given the benefit of Kramonnati after issuance of order of allocation with retrospective effect.</p> <p>(ii) His juniors viz. Shri Jaibhan Mishra and others were allocated to M.P.</p> <p>(iii) His home district is in the State of MP and he had opted for MP.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that 57 junior RAEOs to Shri Mishra were given the benefit of ACP with retrospective effect prior to 23.09.2000 but orders to this effect were issued after 01.09.2001. Out of them 26 RAEOs were allocated to the State of M.P.</p> <p>Since 26 junior RAEOs to Shri Mishra were given the benefit of ACP with retrospective effect and allocated to the State of M.P, the Committee recommended revision of allocation of Shri Mishra from Chhattisgarh to M.P.</p>
86	72	Shri P Sharma, RAEO, D/o Farmers' Welfare & Agri. Dev. WP No. 1138/2010	<p>The matter was considered in compliance of directions dated 19.11.2014 in W.P. No.1138/2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of M.P. The Committee observed that the Hon'ble High Court quashed the allocation order to the State of Chhattisgarh in respect of petitioner and allowed him to remain in the State of Madhya Pradesh.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Shri Sharma has raised following grounds in writ petition:-</p> <p>(i) Many juniors to him were not given the benefit of Kramonnati from due dates. They were given the benefit of Kramonnati after issuance of order of allocation with retrospective effect.</p> <p>(ii) His juniors were allocated to M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that 63 juniors to Shri Sharma were given the benefit of kramonnati (ACP) in the pay scale of Rs.4500-7000 with retrospective effect prior to 23.09.2000 but orders to this effect were issued after 01.09.2001. Out of them</p>



			<p>34 juniors to Shri Sharma were allocated to M.P.</p> <p>Since 34 junior RAEs to Shri Sharma were given the benefit of ACP with retrospective effect and allocated to the State of M.P., the Committee recommended revision of allocation of Shri Sharma from Chhattisgarh to M.P.</p>
87	73	<p>Shri A K Choudhari, RAEO, D/o Farmers' Welfare & Agri. Dev. WP No. 3017/2010</p>	<p>The matter was considered in compliance of directions dated 19.11.2014 in W.P. No.3017/2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of M.P. The Committee observed that the Hon'ble High Court quashed the allocation order to the State of Chhattisgarh in respect of petitioner and allowed him to remain in the State of Madhya Pradesh.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Shri Choudhari has raised following grounds in writ petition:-</p> <p>(ii) Many juniors to him were not given the benefit of Kramonnati from due dates. They were given the benefit of Kramonnati after issuance of order of allocation with retrospective effect.</p> <p>(ii) His juniors were allocated to M.P.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that 66 juniors to Shri Choudhari were given the benefit of kramonnati (ACP) in the pay scale of Rs.4500-7000 with retrospective effect prior to 23.09.2000 but orders to this effect were issued after 01.09.2001. Out of them 50 juniors to Shri Choudhari were allocated to M.P.</p> <p>Since 50 junior RAEs to Shri Choudhari were given the benefit of ACP with retrospective effect and allocated to the State of M.P., the Committee recommended revision of allocation of Shri Choudhari from Chhattisgarh to M.P.</p>
88	74	<p>Shri Bhagwan Das Jain, Vat. Asstt. Surgeon, D/o Animal Husbandry WA No. 356/2011</p>	<p>The matter was considered in compliance of directions dated 10.07.2014 in W.A. No.356/2011 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of M.P. The Committee observed that the Court directed to reconsider the representation made earlier by the petitioner against his final allocation and pass speaking order and communicate the same to the petitioner within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</p> <p>The Committee also observed that Shri Jain has raised following grounds:-</p>

Sk. Mansi

			<p>(i) His home district is in the State of M.P.</p> <p>(ii) His juniors viz. Dr. Santosh Kumar Mishra & Dr. Sukhraj Singh Baghel were allocated to M.P.</p> <p>(iii) His wife is working in Department of Education, Govt. of Rajasthan.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that juniors to Shri Jain viz. Dr. Santosh Kumar Mishra was allocated to M.P. under medical ground and Dr. Sukhraj Singh Baghel was allocated to M.P. under swap/mutual policy. They further recommended that Shri Jain is not eligible for allocation to M.P. under spouse policy because his wife is working in the State Govt. of Rajasthan. The Committee, therefore, recommended rejection of his representation. A speaking order will issued accordingly.</p>
89	75	Shri Mukesh Kumar Soni, Progress Assistant, D/o Animal Husbandry WP No. 7443/2010	<p>In compliance of directions dated 03.03.2015 in W.P. No.7443/2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh, the Committee considered the representation of Shri Soni. The Committee observed that the Court directed that on submission of representation, if any, by the petitioner, it would be entirely for the competent authority to act in accordance with law without being influenced by any observation made by this court.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Shri Soni submitted a representation regarding revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. on following grounds:-</p> <p>(i) He is a permanent resident of M.P. and opted for M.P.</p> <p>(ii) Both the State Govts. i.e. M.P. & Chhattisgarh have given their consent/NOC on change of his State allocation.</p> <p>(iii)The Directorate of Veterinary Services informed that there were in all 110 sanctioned posts of Progress Assistant in M.P., of which, there are only 99 posts being occupied and 11 posts are vacant.</p> <p>The Committee noted that both the State Govts i.e. M.P. and Chhattisgarh have informed that home district of Shri Soni is Narsinghpur, M.P. He had opted for M.P. at the time of allocation. They have given their consent/NOC for change of allocation of Shri Soni. Moreover, Govt of M.P. has informed that 78 employees are working on the post of Progress</p>

			<p>Assistant and 32 posts are vacant in the department.</p> <p>The Committee noted that mutual transfer is not under the ambit of the Advisory Committee. Hence, it is left to the both the State Govts. to decide the matter.</p>
90	76	Shri Bhaiyaram Bhagat, MPW, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare WP No. 4043/2005	<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Bhagat informed that he is a non-State Cadre employee. Non-State Cadre employees stood allocated to the State where they were working as on the appointed day i.e. 01.11.2000. The Committee noted that no separate orders for allocation of non-State cadre employee were issued. Therefore, consideration of allocation of Shri Bhagat does not fall within the purview of Advisory Committee. Therefore, the Committee recommended rejection of his representation.</p>
91	77	Shri Anand Kumar Mishra, Sub-Engineer, D/o Public Works WA No. 469/ 2012	<p>The Committee observed that while disposing of W.A. No.469/2012 on 18.11.2015, the Hon'ble High Court of M.P., Bench at Gwalior directed the Central Government to reconsider the representation made earlier by the petitioner against his allocation and pass speaking order and communicate the same to the petitioner within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Shri Mishra raised the following grounds in his representation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) His juniors viz. S/Sh. Dharendra Singh, V.P. Bhadoria, Sudheer Kumar, J.P. Shori, Gyanendra Singh and Manoj Jain were allocated to M.P. (ii) He is entitled for benefits of seniority w.e.f. 20.03.1996 on parity basis with Shri Vishambhar Singh Bhadoria, Shri Ravindra Sharma and Shri Ram Niwas as per court's order but the respondents treated him as Sub-Engineer as on 31.12.1998 while allocating him the State of Chhattisgarh and the Sub-Engineers as on 20.03.1996 have been allocated State of M.P. <p>The matter was deferred with directions to the Administrative Department to submit the requisite information immediately.</p>
92	78	Shri Jitendra Singh Chauhan, Company Commander, D/o Home WA No. 400/2011	<p>In compliance of directions dated 10.3.2014 in Writ Appeal No.400/2011 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of MP, Bench at Gwalior, the Committee considered that representation of Shri Chouhan.</p> <p>The Committee observed that he has raised</p>

			<p>following grounds in his earlier representation regarding revision of State allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P.:</p> <p>(i) His home district is Bhand, M.P.</p> <p>(ii) He is suffering from 'pancreatitis' and getting treatment from Agra.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Chouhan was allocated to Chhattisgarh against his option on juniority basis in accordance with the guidelines. Only domicile does not confer a right to allocation of opted State. The Committee noted that pancreatitis is not covered under medical hardships. Therefore, the Committee recommended rejection of his representation. A speaking order shall be issued accordingly.</p>
93	79	Shri Shivendra Upadhyay, Constable, D/o Home WP No. 1423/ 2009	<p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Upadhyay has been allocated to Chhattisgarh under mutual transfer policy vide Govt. of M.P. order dated 8.2.2011 and accordingly relieved vide order dated 01.04.2011 to join the Govt. of Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>In view of the submission of Administrative Department, the Committee recommended to drop the case from the agenda as the action has already been taken in compliance of Court's directions.</p>
94	80	Shri Neel Kamal Garg, RAEO, D/o Farmers' Welfare and Agri. Dev. WA No. 152/2009	<p>Department of Agriculture, Govt. of M.P. informed that Shri Garg was relieved to join the State of Chhattisgarh. But Department of General Administration, Chhattisgarh informed that Shri Garg has not yet given joined in Chhattisgarh after his final allocation.</p> <p>The Committee observed that Shri Garg had filed W.P. No. 827/2008 in the Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh which was dismissed. Thereafter, he preferred the present Writ Appeal No. 152/2009 which has also been disposed on 18.3.2010. However, the directions are not available with the Central Govt. The Committee further observed that Shri Garg mentioned his posting address as Block Bilha, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh and residential address as Rajkishor Nagar, Chhattisgarh in the writ appeal. As such he appears to be serving under the Govt. of Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Committee desired that the position may be verified by the Govt. of Chhattisgarh and intimated to the Govt. of M.P. as well as Govt. of India immediately after receipt of the minutes. The Committee also instructed that a copy of</p>

			order dated 18.3.2010 of the Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh may also be obtained by the Administrative Department and submit to Govt. of India.
95	81	Shri Shivcharan Singh Parihar, Subedar, D/o Home WP No. 12957/ 2010	<p>The Administrative Department has confirmed that Shri Parihar has withdrawn his representation for revision of allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. vide his application dated 22.6.2016</p> <p>In view of the submission of the Administrative Department as requested by Shri Parihar, the Committee recommended to drop this case from the agenda.</p>
96	82	Shri Narendra Kumar Gupta, Sub Engineer, D/o Water Resources WP No. 3821/ 2005	<p>The Committee observed that in compliance of directions dated 14.12.2005 of Hon'ble High Court of M.P. Shri Gupta was to submit a representation within 6 weeks.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that Shri Gupta submitted a representation dated 19.6.2016 after a lapse of about 11 years. In view of time barred representation, Administrative Department recommended rejection of the representation.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Shri Gupta has failed to submit his representation within the time period prescribed by the Hon'ble High Court. Therefore, the Committee recommended rejection of his representation stating that 11 years' delay in submitting his representation can not be condoned.</p>
97	83	Shri Vinod Singh Tomar, SHDO, D/o Horticulture WP No. 1189/ 2005	<p>The Administrative Department of Shri Tomar informed that WP No. 1189/2005 was dismissed on 06.2.2008 by the Hon'ble High Court and he is being relieved from M.P. to join the Govt. of Chhattisgarh. The Committee recommended that it may be confirmed by both the State Govts. whether he has been relieved from M.P. and joined in the State of Chhattisgarh. A copy of relieving order and joining report may be provided to the Govt. of India immediately after receipt of the minutes. The Committee accordingly recommended to drop the case.</p>
98	84	Shri Arvind Kumar Dubey, RHEO, D/o Horticulture WP No. 4477/2013	<p>The Committee observed that while disposing of W.P. No.4477/2013 on 24.02.2014, the Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh directed the Secretary, General Administration, Govt. of Chhattisgarh to consider and decide the fresh representation/application of the petitioner, on its own merits, in accordance with</p>

			<p>circular dated 9.6.2008 issued by the State Govt.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the directions are given to the State Govt. and the Govt. of India is not the respondent in the matter. Moreover, the petitioner has not approached the Govt. of India for redressal of his grievance. Govt. of Chhattisgarh has already given its consent/No objection.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Committee recommended that necessary action in compliance of Court's order may be taken by the both the State Govts. as per the terms/conditions/rules/regulations framed for this purpose.</p>
99	85	Dr. Rajesh Joshi, AMO, D/o Ayush W.P. No. 3065/2006	<p>The Committee noted that this case is being repeatedly deferred for last few meetings for want of complete information/ clarification/specific comments from the Administrative Departments.</p> <p>The Committee observed that while disposing of W. P. No. 3065/06 on 15.11.2011, the Hon'ble High Court of M.P. Bench at Indore granted liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation raising all possible grounds before the Committee and the Committee shall pass necessary order. In compliance of said directions dated 15.11.2011, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 22.01.2013 to this Department for revision of his allocation from Chhattisgarh to M.P. Dr. Joshi contended that some AMOs were not given the benefit of ACP and were allocated to M.P. in lower pay scale being senior in that pay scale and his junior AMOs were allocated to M.P.</p> <p>The Committee noted that in its last meeting, the Department of Ayush, M.P. opined to retain Ayurvedic Medical Officers (AMOs) who have not joined the State of Chhattisgarh in compliance of Court's order and are still working in the State of M.P. and due to shortage of AMOs in M.P. they are proposed to have been retained in M.P. The Administrative Department reiterated that same.</p> <p>The Committee further instructed the Administrative Department to provide their specific comments on allocation of junior AMOs to M.P. in lower pay scale and clarify as to whether these juniors were given the benefit of ACP with retrospective effect after the cut-off date i.e. 23.09.2000 but effective on/prior to 23.09.2000. Accordingly, the Committee deferred the</p>

			matter.
--	--	--	---------

Court Cases wherein Govt. to file appeal:-

Sr. No.	Agenda item No.	Name, Designation, Department and WP/WA No.	Recommendations of the Advisory Committee
100	86	Dr. Pramod Kumar Dubey, Medical Officer, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare WP No. 2398/ 2007	The Committee observed that the Hon'ble High Court while disposing of WPs No. 2398/07, 4996/06, 1086/05 & 4367/07 on 14.05.2010, 18.01.2012, 31.07.2009 & 28.2.2012 respectively, quashed the allocation orders to Chhattisgarh in respect of these petitioners and they are still serving in the State of M.P.
101	87	Dr. Ashok Kumar Dixit, Medical Specialist, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare WP No. 4996/ 2006	The Committee further observed that in 26 th meeting of Advisory Committee, the Administrative Department informed that legal opinion for filing appeals has been sought from the State Govt. Counsel which is yet to be received. The Administrative Department reiterated that same and further informed that all these doctors have attained the age of 60 years or about to attain the age of 60 years. However they will retire on superannuation on attaining the age of 65 years. Administrative Department further opined that in view of shortage of specialist doctors in M.P. appropriate decision may be taken in compliance of Court's directions.
102	88	Dr. Krishna Kumar Bhargav, Child Specialist, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare WP No. 1086/ 2005	
103	89	Dr. R P Srivastava, Medical Specialist, D/o Public Health & Family Welfare WP No. 4367/07	
104	90	Shri Atar Singh Rajput, RHEO, D/o Horticulture WP No. 1159/ 2005	The Administrative Department's failure to obtain the opinion of their Law Department, M.P. was viewed seriously by he Committee. It, therefore, reiterated its earlier stand and advised the Administrative Department to obtain the opinion of their Law Department immediately and accordingly take necessary action.
105	91	Shri Chandra Shekhar Rajput, RHEO, D/o Horticulture WP No. 1161/ 2005	The Committee observed that while passing the directions dated 15.12.2010, the Hon'ble High Court of M.P., Bench at Gwalior quashed the allocation order of the petitioners to the Chhattisgarh because the petitioners had been serving in the State of M.P. after their allocation to Chhattisgarh for last 10 years in pursuance to the stay order. The Hon'ble Court further held that it would not be just and proper to again refer the matter to the appropriate Government for deciding the representation of the petitioners.
			The Committee noted that during a meeting on 03.09.2012, the Administrative Department was

Skomand

			<p>advised to file writ appeal against the above judgment dated 15.12.2010. The Administrative Department informed that their Counsel has been changed. A new Counsel has been appointed in the matter who has been instructed to draft appeal for filing in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>The inaction of the Administrative Department to comply with the instructions of the Committee was viewed seriously. The Govt of M.P. was therefore, advised to prefer a writ appeal immediately after receipt of the minutes.</p>
106	92	<p>Shri Ran Singh Tomar, SADO, D/o Farmers' Welfare and Agri. Dev. WP No. 2136/06</p>	<p>The Committee observed that while passing the directions dated 15.12.2010, the Hon'ble High Court of M.P., Bench at Gwalior quashed the allocation order of the petitioner to the Chhattisgarh as the petitioner had been serving in the State of M.P. after their allocation to Chhattisgarh for last 10 years in pursuance to the stay order. The Hon'ble Court further held that it would not be just and proper to again refer the matter to the appropriate Government for deciding the representation of the petitioners.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that they are in process of filing appeal in the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order dated 15.12.2010. They further informed that Shri Tomar is going to retire on superannuation on 31.01.2017 (DOB 05.01.1957).</p> <p>The Committee noted that as agreed by both the State Govts. and policy decision taken by the Committee, the cut-off date for retention of those employees due to retire within 2 years is effective from 01.04.2016 i.e. 31.03.2018. Shri Tomar is due to retire on 31.1.2017. Therefore, the Committee recommended his retention in M.P.</p>
107	93	<p>Shri V K Agrawal, RAO, D/o Farmers' Welfare and Agri. Dev. WP No. 9465/2005</p>	<p>The Committee observed that while passing the directions dated 18.8.2015, the Hon'ble High Court of M.P. at Jabalpur quashed the allocation order of the petitioner to the Chhattisgarh since detailed reasons have not been mentioned by the authority while rejecting the representation of the petitioner.</p> <p>The Administrative Department informed that the appeal is being filed in the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order dated 18.8.2015. The appeal is at draft stage.</p> <p>In view of the submission of the Administrative Department, the Committee recommended that writ</p>

Signature

		appeal may be filed immediately and intimated to the Govt. of India. The Committee accordingly deferred the matter.
--	--	---

Writ Petitions filed/representations received from employees for cancellation of orders for their revision of allocation:

Sr. No.	Agenda item No.	Name, Designation, Department and WP/WA No.	Recommendations of the Advisory Committee
108	101	Shri Shivram Masram, Peon (Now Asstt. Gr-II), D/o Commerce and Industry WP No. 944/2016	The Administrative Department informed that they have filed Counter Affidavit. The case is pending in the High Court. Shri Masaram is working in Chhattisgarh in compliance of stay order granted by the Court. The Committee recommended that the case may be followed up by the Administrative Department and necessary action will be taken in accordance with outcome of the Court matter.
109	102	Shri Anil Kumar Goyal, Asstt. Gr-III, D/o Commerce and Industry WP No. 1488/2016	The Administrative Department informed that he has joined in the Govt. of M.P. No further action is required in the matter. Therefore, the Committee recommended to drop this case.
110	103	Shri Jageshwar Prasad Jaiswal, Peon (Now Constable M), D/o Home WP No. 20734/2015	The Administrative Department informed they have filed Counter Affidavit. The case is pending in the High Court. Shri Jaiswal is working in Chhattisgarh in compliance of stay order granted by the Court. The Committee noted that the case may be followed up by the Administrative Department and necessary action will be taken in accordance with outcome of the Court matter. Accordingly, the Committee recommended to drop the case from the agenda.
111	104	Shri S.S. Bundela, Assistant Director, D/o Rural Industries	The Committee noted that these employees were re-allocated to State of M.P. during its previous meetings. They have submitted representations for their non-relieving for M.P. and continuance of their services in Chhattisgarh.
112	105	Shri Munnalal Karade, LDC (GB)(Now ASI), D/o Home	
113	106	Shri Lokram Kakoria, Constable, D/o Home	The respective Administrative Departments informed that they have joined in the Govt. of M.P. No further action is required in the matter. Therefore, the Committee recommended to drop these cases.
114	114	Smt. Pushpa Saratiya, Principal, D/o School Education	Smt. Saratia Submitted a representation for revision of allocation from M.P. to Chhattisgarh under SC/ST Category. She belongs to ST category and is domicile of Chhattisgarh and opted for M.P. The Administrative Department did not provide the information regarding her cadre, option, domicile, post held at the time of allocation, date of appointment,

			<p>present posting etc. alongwith copies of TFAL & FAL.</p> <p>The Committee deferred the matter with instruction to the Administrative Department to provide the requisite information immediately after receipt of the minutes.</p>
--	--	--	---

Skumar