

131

**MINUTES OF THE 9<sup>th</sup> MEETING HELD ON 25.11.2008 AT 2.00 PM  
UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF JS(AT&SR) IN ROOM NO.190**

In compliance with the directions dated 17.04.2007 of the Hon'ble High Court of Chhatisgarh at Bilaspur in Writ Petition No. 445/2001 in the matter of Godbole and others versus Union of India and others, the 9<sup>th</sup> meeting the Committee was held under the Chairmanship of Dr. S.K.Sarkar, Joint Secretary (AT, A &SR), DOPT at 2.00 PM in Room No.190, North Block. The meeting was attended by the following:-

Shri Sudesh Kumar  
Shri Jawahar Shrivastava  
Shri V. Peddanna

Principal Secretary, GAD, Govt. of MP  
Secretary, GAD, Government of Chhattisgarh  
Deputy Secretary, DOPT(SRS)

The Chairman welcomed the members of the Committee and thereafter took up the agenda for discussion. In this meeting representations of 14 State Government employees of erstwhile State of Madhya Pradesh, covered by the decision dated 17.04.2007 in W.P. No. 445/2001 were individually discussed for revision of their allocation. Brief record of discussion and recommendations of the Committee in each case has been reflected in the last column of the table.

Sl. No.	Name of the Petitioner	Petition No.	Recommendations
1	R.S.Dubey RAEO	2024/08	Shri R.S.Dubey was allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis. Grounds raised for revision of allocation are not covered under guidelines. The Committee recommended for rejection of his representation.
2	R.K.Gupta RAEO	2024/08	Shri R.K.Gupta was allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis. Grounds raised for revision of allocation are not covered under the guidelines. The Committee recommended for rejection of his representation .
3	R.K.Agarwal RAEO	2024/08	Shri R.K.Agarwal was allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis. Grounds indicated for revision of allocation are not covered under the guidelines. The Committee recommended for rejection of representation.
4	Banwari Singh Rajput	1349/08	Shri Banwari Singh Rajput was allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis.

M. Mohan Singh

132

	SDAO		Grounds raised for revision of allocation are not covered under the guidelines. Shri Rajput belongs to state cadre. The Committee recommended for rejection of his representation.
5	Atul Kumar Harne RAEO	2633/08	The Committee found that Shri Atul Kumar Harne was allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis. Grounds raised for revision of allocation are not covered under the guidelines. Hence the Committee recommended for rejection of his representation.
6	Ravinder Purohit Draftsman	2024/08	Shri Ravinder Purohit belongs to state cadre. His allocation was done as per guidelines. He was allocated on juniority basis. Grounds raised for revision of allocation are not covered under the guidelines. The Committee recommended for rejection of his representation.
7	Nanak Singh Dhurve RAEO	3177/08	Shri Nanak Singh Dhurve belongs to a non-state cadre. As per guidelines non-state cadre employees are to be allocated to a state where they were working at the time of allocation. Since he was working in Chhattisgarh at the time of allocation, Committee found that he was allocated as per guidelines and recommend for rejection of representation for revision of his allocation.
8	Badriprasad Jawaria RAEO	1349/08	Shri Badriprasad Jawaria belongs to State cadre and allocated on juniority basis, as per guidelines. The grounds raised for revision of allocation including the disease of his wife are not covered under guidelines. Hence the Committee recommend for rejection of his representation.
9	Dharamder Kumar Dubey and Ram Prasad	2397/08	Shri Dharamender Kumar Dubey and Shri Ram Prasad are class IV employees. The Committee recommended for revision of their allocation from Chhattisgarh to MP, as per guidelines.
10.	Abay Asthana Sub Engineer	2397/08	Decision has been deferred for want of confirmation whether wife of the

Mohinder Singh

133

			petitioner is a Govt. Servant.
11.	Ved Prakash Mishra, Sub Engineer	6590/05	Consideration of this case was deferred for the next meeting Shri Ved Prakash Mishra may be requested to provide details of the disease of his son.
12.	Dilip Saudagar, Asstt. Gr.I	1328/08	The wife of Shri Dilip Saudagar is suffering from migrane which is not a identified medical hardship for revision of allocation and is not covered under guidelines. Hence, the Committee recommended for rejection of his representation.
13.	Dr.R.P.Shukla Vateriaary Asstt. Surgeon	4515/05	<p>Based on the information provided by both the State Govts the Committee came to the conclusion that petitioner belongs to Animal Husbandry Department and not Panchayat and Rural Development Department.</p> <p>Based on the information provided to the Committee by the Department of Animal Husbandary he was allocated to Chhattisgarh on juniority basis on 7.9.2002, as per guidelines. The Committee recommended for rejection of his representation for his fresh allocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh in the Department of Panchayat and Rural Development where he was on deputation. No further action is called for so far is allocation matter is concerned.</p>

The meeting ended with a vote thanks of to the Chair

*Mohinder Singh*

*d/c*